APPENDIX

APPENDIX A.1. ADDITIONAL FIGURES AND TABLES



FIGURE A1. Distribution of Headquarters Location, Investment Region, and Investment Industry (Respondents Only)

Notes: This figure reports the distribution of headquarters location, investment region, and investment industry for the sample of respondent LPs and GPs. We have 312 LP respondents and 688 GP respondents. We exclude foreign entities from this analysis. Panels A and D show the distribution of headquarters for LPs and GPs, respectively. Panels B and E show the proportion of investment in each region group for LPs and GPs, respectively. In the *Region Group* of Panels A, D, B and E, we map all regions into 6 categories for visualization, *Beijing, Shanghai, Guangdong, Inland Region, Coastal Region* and *Foreign Countries*, in which *Coastal Region* indicates that the area belongs to a province adjacent to the sea, while *Inland Region* is the opposite. Panels C and F show the proportion of investment in each industry group for LPs and GPs, respectively.



FIGURE A2. Distribution of Headquarters Location, Investment Region, and Investment Industry (Respondents Only; by Government Ownership)

Notes: This figure reports the distribution of headquarters location, investment region, and investment industry for the sample of respondent LPs and GPs, split by government-owned versus nongovernment-owned entities. We have 238 government-owned LP respondents and 74 nongovernment-owned LP respondents. We have 216 government-owned GP respondents and 472 nongovernment-owned GP respondents. We exclude foreign entities from this analysis. Panels A and D show the distribution of headquarters for LPs and GPs, respectively. Panels B and E show the proportion of investment in each region group for LPs and GPs, respectively. In the *Region Group* of Panels A, D, B and E, we map all regions into 6 categories for visualization, *Beijing, Shanghai, Guangdong, Inland Region, Coastal Region* and *Foreign Countries*, in which *Coastal Region* indicates that the area belongs to a province adjacent to the sea, while *Inland Region* is the opposite. Panels C and F show the proportion of investment in each industry group for LPs and GPs, respectively. Government-owned entities are defined as entities with government owners.

2019 Chinese Equity Investment Survey

Zero2IPO and Tsinghua University PBC School of Finance are studying how to improve the resource allocation in China's private equity investment market more effectively, establish an efficient and reliable market-based investment system, and better promote technological innovation. The purpose of the survey is to use machine learning technology to introduce general partners (GP) and limited partners (LP), and to help GP and LP form a more effective match by identifying important characteristics of different institutions. We sincerely hope that we could receive strong support and assistance from your organization. Please take the time to fill out the survey questionnaire accurately.

We hope you could evaluate the profiles of hypothetical investment partners. Your choices will be used to provide you with recommendations of and make introductions with actual partners you may be interested in that closely match your preferences. In the survey questionnaire, you will see descriptions of 20 hypothetical partners. Please evaluate each profile based on the following questions:

0) Would you like to meet this investment partner?

1) Are you interested in establishing an investment relationship with this investment partner? (On a scale of 1-10, 1="Not interested"; 10="Extremely interested")

2) How likely do you think it is that this investment partner would want to enter an investment relationship with your organization? (On a scale of 1-10, 1="Not likely"; 10="Extremely likely")

Question 1) seeks to measure your interest in this partner. Assume that the investment partner is already interested in establishing an investment relationship with your organization—therefore please only consider your views on the quality of the investment partner.

Question 2) seeks to measure the likelihood that this partner wants to establish a business relationship with your organization. Assume that you have already expressed interest in the investment partner—therefore please only consider whether you think the partner is interested in establishing an investment relationship with your organization.

* All the data you fill in will be kept strictly confidential, and we will also send you anonymous summary research and related policy reports.

In order to thank your institution for participating, we will provide you with:

- 1) An introduction between the (real) general partner (GP) and the (real) limited partner (LP) to form more effective matches;
- 2) An early research report from this survey.





FIGURE A3. 2019 Experimental Survey: Recruitment Email

Notes: This figure shows the recruitment email sent to respondents by Zero2IPO for the 2019 survey. Respondents would read this page before they start the survey and Zero2IPO would guide them with phone calls and in case they have any questions during the whole process.



FIGURE A4. Job Positions of Targeted Respondents

Notes: This figure reports the distribution of the primary job position of the targeted individual respondents within their respective GPs and LPs, using the Zero2IPO classification. We have a total of 1,000 individual respondents, of which 312 from LP respondents and 688 from GP respondents. The group of All represents the overall distribution of all respondents. The job positions (discussed in more detail in the paper) are grouped into four types: *Partner*, *Director*, *Manager/Executive*, and *Other*.



2021 China Equity Investment Market Research Survey

About this survey

Zero2IPO Research Center and PBC School of Finance of Tsinghua University are jointly studying how to more effectively improve the allocation of resources in China's venture capital (VC) and private equity (PE) market, so as to establish an efficient and reliable market-based investment system that can promote technological innovation. Your institution has previously strongly supported and participated in the "2019 China Equity Investment Survey". After rigorous machine-lerning analysis, we have helped GPs and LPs form effective matches with each other. A sizable share of investment in the Chinese VC and PE market comes from the government or from enterprises with state-owned equity, which have the purpose of supporting entrepreneurship and technological innovation, especially among young and small to medium sized firms. We would like you to respond to the questions below, based on the general perceptions from the perspective of typical GPs in the market, about **government-related LPs (such as government agencies or state-owned firms, or government entities investing in guided funds)** and evaluate (1) the advantages of receiving funding from government-related LPs, and (2) how to improve the efficiency in the investment of government-related funding.

* After completion, we will summarize the research, and write policy reports and proposals that can inform relevant regulatory authorities to improve the system. All the information you fill in will be kept strictly confidential, and we will also send you anonymous summaries of the research and related policy reports. We sincerely hope that we can continue to receive strong support and assistance from your organization. Please take the time to fill out the survey questionnaire and send it back within the next two weeks.

	1: The advantages of government-related LPs (10=extremely important, 1=not important at all)	Please mark the most important advantage among the 5 options below.
1	To speed up regulatory approvals and obtain tax reductions	Please choose: a value between 1-10
2	I o obtain larger shares of returns from the government, receive timely funding when facing shortages of private funds in the market, reduce the pressure of fundraising, and obtain follow-up funds more easily	Please choose: a value between 1-10
3	To obtain faster access to reliable information/relevant future policies/industry resources	Please choose: a value between 1-10
4	Government LPs can obtain support from the local government and bring local investment opportunities	Please choose: a value between 1-10
5	To help attract potential investors and follow-up investment from private capital	Please choose: a value between 1-10
	Other, please specify:	Please provide comments or suggestions:
	2: What can be improved by government-related LPs (10=extremely important, 1=not important at all)	Please mark the most important one among the 5 options below.
1	Need less post-investment restrictions on usage of funds in specific regions and industry and on the ratio of investment from private LPs	Please choose: a value between 1-10
2	Need more tolerance of investment risks, and more focus on profit maximization with high-return/high-quality/competitive projects	Please choose: a value between 1-10
3	Need to extend the investment horizon and the requirements on when to exit	Please choose: a value between 1-10
4	Need a more professional team and a more professional approach to make investment decisions so that value can be added post-investment	Please choose: a value between 1-10
5	Need to reduce exposure to policy uncertainty and have more clear investment objectives	Please choose: a value between 1-10
	Other, please specify:	Please provide comments or suggestions:

FIGURE A5. 2021 Qualitative Survey

Notes: This figure shows the recruitment email sent to respondents by Zero2IPO for the 2021 survey. Respondents would read this page before they start the surveys and Zero2IPO would guide them with phone calls and in case they have any questions during the whole process.

	Active	Inactive
	All	All
Panel A: LPs		
Share Government-Owned (%)	50.11	NA
Capital Invested (\$ millions)	50.36	20.57
Funds Invested	1.98	1.39
Firm Age	8.29	10.52
Panel B: GPs		
Share Government-Owned (%)	38.63	NA
AUM (\$ millions)	741.30	76.31
IRR ($\%$ median)	27.64	21.68
Funds	2.54	2.05
Investments	13.42	2.86
Exits	5.91	0.42
Firm Age	6.95	7.82

TABLE A1. Comparing Active and Inactive Entities in the Zero2IPO Database

Notes: This table reports summary statistics for both LPs and GPs, using Zero2IPO administrative data for the period 2015-19. We have 7,974 active LPs and 6,308 active GPs. We have 16,766 inactive GPs and 6,346 inactive LPs. Inactive entites are defined as entities who have at least one investment activity recorded between 2015 and 2019 but that are not defined as "active" by Zero2IPO. We exclude foreign entities from the analysis. The Panel A includes variables for LPs. The Panel B includes variables for GPs. Share Government-Owned (%) is the share of entities that have at least one ultimate owner that is affiliated either with a government agency or a state-owned enterprise, Capital Invested (\$ millions) is the amount of capital the LP invested in funds (in Million USD), Funds Invested is the number of funds the LP invested in, AUM (\$ millions) is the assets under management (in Million USD), IRR (% median) is the median internal rate of return, Funds is the number of funds managed by the GP, Investments is the number of investments made by the GP, Exits is the number of exit events for the GP investments. Firm Age is the age of the firm as of 2019. Capital Invested (\$ millions), AUM (\$ millions) and IRR (% median) are winsorized at the top 95%. Share Government-Owned (%) is omitted from the inactive sample due to data limitations.

	F	Respondents			Non-Respondents		
	All	Gov	NonGov	All	Gov	NonGov	
Panel A: LPs							
Share Government-Owned (%)	77.52	100.00	0.00	74.40	100.00	0.00	
Capital Invested (\$ millions)	399.59	471.71	207.33	183.82	231.18	51.64	
Funds Invested	9.24	10.18	4.45	4.24	4.80	2.53	
Firm Age	9.11	8.53	11.13	8.11	8.29	7.60	
Panel B: GPs							
Share Government-Owned (%)	32.05	100.00	0.00	34.86	100.00	0.00	
AUM (\$ millions)	1001.76	1491.48	691.78	595.97	618.98	592.73	
IRR ($\%$ median)	32.34	25.78	36.57	25.76	18.67	30.12	
Funds	3.32	4.22	2.81	2.64	2.93	2.45	
Investments	48.40	44.36	50.35	13.26	12.42	13.70	
Exits	9.36	11.86	8.06	4.34	5.08	3.96	
Firm Age	7.13	7.54	6.94	6.37	6.75	6.17	

TABLE A2. Comparing Respondents and Non-Respondents

Notes: This table reports summary statistics for both LPs and GPs, using Zero2IPO administrative data for the period 2015–19. We have 312 respondent LPs and 688 respondent GPs. We have 478 non-respondent LPs and 912 non-respondent GPs. We exclude foreign entities from this analysis. The Panel A includes variables for LPs. The Panel B includes variables for GPs. *Share Government-Owned* (%) is the share of entities that have at least one ultimate owner that is affiliated either with a government agency or a state-owned enterprise, *Capital Invested* (\$ millions) is the amount of capital the LP invested in funds (in Million USD), *Funds Invested* is the number of funds the LP invested in, *AUM* (\$ millions) is the assets under management (in Million USD), *IRR* (% median) is the median internal rate of return, *Funds* is the number of funds managed by the GP, *Investments* is the number of investments made by the GP, *Exits* is the number of exit events for the GP investments. *Firm Age* is the age of the firm as of 2019. *Capital Invested* (\$ millions), *AUM* (\$ millions) and *IRR* (% median) are winsorized at the top 95%.

	(1) CR	(2) CR	(3) CR	(4) CR	(5) IRR	(6) IRR	(7) IRR	(8) IRR
Gov GPs	-0.015^{***} (-2.89)	-0.014^{***} (-2.79)	-0.017*** (-2.94)	-0.017^{***} (-2.82)	-17.456^{***} (-2.62)	-15.238** (-2.22)	-23.958^{***} (-3.15)	-20.504*** (-2.66)
AUM			-0.000^{*} (-1.70)	-0.000* (-1.78)			-0.002 (-1.52)	-0.003** (-2.18)
Observations	410	410	336	336	388	388	319	319
HQ FEs	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes

TABLE A3. Government-Owned GPs Perform Worse (Respondents Only)

Notes: This table illustrates the association between GPs' government ownership status and GP performance, within the sample of respondents. The specification is $y_j = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovGPs_j + \gamma \times AUM_j + \epsilon_{ij}$. The sample includes all active GPs with non-missing data for CR (columns 1-4) and IRR (columns 5-8). GovGPs is a dummy indicating whether a GP is government owned. CR is comprehensive return, which is standardized to 0-1. IRR is winsorized at the 95% percentile. AUM is the total asset under management in USD millions, and is winsorized at the 95% percentile. Columns 1 and 5 show the basic models. Columns 2 and 6 show the results with headquarters FEs. Columns 3 and 7 show the results with AUM as controls. Columns 4 and 8 show the results with both headquarters FEs and AUM controls. t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	Gov LP	Non-Gov LP	ColRatio
Gov GP	1.724	0.915	1.884
	$(\ 31.25\ \%)$	$(\ 16.39\ \%)$	(0.000)
Non-Gov GP	0.706	0.932	0.757
	$(\ 22.73\ \%)$	(29.64%)	(0.000)
RowRatio	2.442	0.982	
	(0.000)	(0.764)	
Assortative Index		1.220	
Homogeneity Test(p-value)		0.000	

TABLE A4. Assortative Matching Between Government-Owned GPs and LPs (Respondents Only)

Notes: This table presents the distribution of links between different GPs and LPs grouped by government ownership, illustrating assortative matching patterns, within the sample of respondents. The likelihood ratio index is calculated as $s(p^{GP}, p^{LP}) = \frac{Pr(G^{GP} = p^{GP}, G^{LP} = p^{LP})}{Pr(G^{GP} = p^{GP}, p^{LP}) = r(G^{GP} = p^{LP})}$. We define $Pr(G^{GP} = p)$ as the ratio of type p GP among all GPs with at least one link, e.g., if p is government owned, then the probability is the ratio of government owned GPs among GPs with at least one link. $Pr(G^{GP} = G^{LP} = p)$ is defined as the ratio of links where GP and LP both belong to group p among all links in the sample. The number in the parentheses is the fraction of links among all links formed between GP and LP with ownership information. Assortative index is calculated as the weighted average of the diagonal elements. ColRatio is calculated as column 1 divided by column 2 in the same row. RowRatio is calculated as row 1 divided by row 2 in the same column. The numbers in the parentheses under the ColRatios and RowRatios are the p-values of the binomial test within the corresponding rows and columns respectively, under the null hypothesis of random matching. The p-value of the homogeneity test is a Chi-square test. Government GPs and government LPs are defined as entities that have at least one ultimate government owner, as described in the paper.

		Active			Respondent		
	All	Gov	NonGov	All	Gov	NonGov	
LP Types (in %)							
Assets Management Company	4.63	5.18	3.41	1.83	1.94	1.65	
Bank and Insurance Company	2.14	2.88	0.49	0.88	1.45	0.00	
Corporate	14.77	11.35	22.41	0.02	0.02	0.02	
FOFs	3.31	4.38	0.93	0.82	0.92	0.66	
Government Bureau and Guided Fund	10.91	15.80	0.00	8.26	13.59	0.00	
Investment Company	3.90	2.68	6.63	0.15	0.23	0.03	
Listed Company	8.05	7.57	9.13	8.82	5.74	13.57	
Others	1.32	1.36	1.24	0.03	0.04	0.00	
Sovereign Fund	0.56	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Trust	0.70	0.94	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	
VC/PE	49.70	47.06	55.59	79.20	76.06	84.06	

TABLE A5. LP Type Distribution

Notes: This table reports the distribution of type for LPs, using Zero2IPO administrative data for the period 2015–19. We have 7,974 active LPs of which 312 LPs are respondents. The distribution is weighted according to the total investment amount of each type of LP during 2015–2019. The classification standard comes from Zero2IPO's administrative data, where VC/PE refers to venture capital and private equity firms that specialize in early stage and growth equity investments, and the *Others* includes Family Office, University Fund and other unclassified entities. Government-owned entities are those with at least one ultimate government owner, as described in the paper.

		Active	Э	Respondent		
	All	Gov	NonGov	All	Gov	NonGov
Panel A: LPs						
Share Government-Owned (%)	50.11	100.00	0.00	77.52	100.00	0.00
Share Central-Owned (%)	24.23	49.95	0.00	32.55	42.36	0.00
Share Provincial-Owned (%)	23.52	48.47	0.00	45.30	58.95	0.00
Share Local-Owned (%)	37.51	77.31	0.00	55.03	71.62	0.00
Panel B: GPs						
Share Government-Owned (%)	38.63	100.00	0.00	32.05	100.00	0.00
Share Central-Owned (%)	21.70	59.95	0.00	19.42	64.80	0.00
Share Provincial-Owned (%)	20.86	57.62	0.00	20.03	66.84	0.00
Share Local-Owned (%)	25.31	69.93	0.00	21.87	72.96	0.00

TABLE A6. Summary Statistics by Government Level

Notes: This table summarizes different government ownership types for both LPs and GPs. We have 7,974 active LPs of which 312 LPs are respondents, and 6,308 active GPs of which 688 GPs are respondents. We exclude foreign entities from this analysis. *Share Government-Owned* indicates the proportion of government-owned entities. *Share Central-Owned* indicates the proportion of entities owned by central government agencies. *Share Provincial-Owned* indicates the proportion of entities owned by local government agencies.

TABLE A7. Variables in Synthetic LP Profiles

Variables	Description
Government Ties	A dummy indicating whether the LP has ties
	to the government.
Large Investor	A dummy indicating whether the LP has size
	above 1 billion yuan.
High Registered Capital	A dummy indicating whether the registered
	capital of the LP is > 1 billion yuan.
Industry Information	A dummy indicating whether the LP profile dis-
	plays industry information.
Young LP	A dummy indicating whether the LP is a young
	LP (founded after 2010).
Headquarter in Foreign Country	A dummy indicating whether the LP is head-
	quartered in a foreign country.
Headquarter in Beijing	A dummy indicating whether the LP is located
	in Beijing.
Corporate Governance	A dummy indicating whether the LP profile dis-
	plays description of corporate governance.
Investment Philosophy	A dummy indicating whether the LP profile dis-
	plays description of investment philosophy.
Stage Focus	A dummy indicating whether the LP profile dis-
	plays the targeted stage of investments.

Notes: This table illustrates the coding of regressors based on original profile components. The first column shows the main regressors. The second column gives a brief description of the variables. See Table A8 for details on all profile components.

TABLE A8.	Description	of LP	Profiles	Randomized	Components
	1				1

Variable	Categorical Value		Options			
Government Ties (0.8)	Government Ties: 1 if with government ties [5-11].	1 This company aims to give full play to the role of the market in al- locating resources and expand pri- vate capital investments in innova- tion and entrepreneurship, so as to promote the development of emerge- ing industries. 公司目标是充分发挥市场资源配置和扩 大社会资本投资创新创业的作用,以促 进薪兴产业发展。	2 With the help of the private capital market and modern management practices, this organization chan- nels capital to sectors of strategic importance and beneficial to social development. 公司依托管本市场,这用現代管理战 略,吸引各类社会资金投资具有战略意 义和促进社会发展的领域。	2 This organization is one of the earli- est market-oriented financing plat- forms in China. The management team is committed to increasing in- vestors' asset value, using modern management methods to protect in- vestors' rights. 公司是最早的以市场力导向的投资融资 字台之一。管理者注册现代理力式维 扩投资者权力,致力于为投资者资本保 值增值。	4 This organization channels capital to independent innovative enter- prises nationwide. It aims to bet- ter promote technological innova- tion through its professional busi- ness model and its efficient and reliable market-oriented investment system. 机构面向全国自主创新企业、并为其提 供资金文粹,通过专业的经营模式、高 效可靠的市场化投资体系,更好地推动 科技创新。	
		⁵ It is a platform for the cen- tral government to hold shares of large enterprises and super- vise SOEs, thus supporting the restructuring of SOEs and the adjustment of industrial struc- ture. 中央政府通过持股大型企业来直接监督 国希企业、为国有企业的改制和产业结 构调整搭建平台。	^b This organization is estab- lished by the CCP provin- cial committee and the provin- cial committee and the provin- cial government. It acceler- ates economic transformation mainly through supporting the development of venture capi- tals and attracting social capi- tal into venture capitals. 经省委。省政府批准而设立的教授新, 特,主要文持风险投资金业的发展,引 导社会资金进入风险投资领域、加快持 型。	1 It is an investment organiza- tion established by a state- owned firm funded by the provincial government. It mainly focuses on investment, financing, and asset manage- ment. 经名人民政府资助约固有独贤公司批准 而设立的投资机构,主要从事投资、融 资和资产管理。	⁸ It is funded by the provincial government. It aims to attract social capital investment into enterprises. 得到省政府解政资金的支持,目的是吸 引社会资本投资到企业。	
		⁹ The provincial government es- tablished this organization and guides its capital operation, equity investment and asset management. 由省政府批准成立设立,指导资本运 作、股权投资和资产管理。	10 Its establishment and opera- tion is approved by the local government. Its main busi- nesses include the investment, operation, and management of state-owned assets. 经当地政府批准, 主君业务包括国有资 产的投资, 经营和管理。	11 Funded and managed by the local government, it operates in a market-oriented manner. 由当地政府出货和运作,并以市场化的 方式进行运作。		
Fund Size and Management (0.8)	Large Investor: 1 if fund size >1 billion [7-12].	¹ The amount under management is 200 Million yuan allocated to a to- tal of 12 funds, with investments in more than 12 startups, including 5 of them that are listed in domestic and foreign capital markets. 管理基金投資項目2亿多元, 拥有基 全口交,已投短1家创业公司,其中5家 在国内外资本市场上市。	2 H established 20 RMB funds with a total size of 600 Million yuan. 管理206文人民币基金,总资金管理规 模达到6亿元。	3 The total size of the funds it pro- vided capital to reached 700 Million yuan, with 15 RMB funds in total. The capital went to 20 startups, 8 of which are now listed companies. 管理总规模这到7亿元,设立15支投资基 金,已投资接近30条创业公司,通过股 权投资平台,成功培育了8家上市公司.	4 A total of 21 funds were set up, which led to about 650 Million yuan of social funds. 设立风险投资基金21支、带动社会资金 约6.5亿元。	
		b It established more than 25 funds, with a total committed capital of over 750 Million yuan and more than 20 accumulated investment projects. こ参与设立25多文基金,总管理资金超 过7.5亿元,累计投资项目超过20个。	a As of end of 2018, it managed a capital of 800 Million yuan, with 23 completed investment projects, and 9 listed companies that have been fostered by the investment platform. 概至2018年, 已管理8亿元资金,完成投资项目23个,通过投资平台,培育了9家 上市公司。	7 The assets under management are over 1.5 Billion yuan, with investments in 25 projects, and a total amount invested of 900 million yuan. 曾理貴介權社15亿元,完成投資项 目25个,总投资为9亿元。	AS of December 2018, it estab- lished 8 direct investment plat- forms, and had committed cap- ital of 2.5 Billion yuan, with investments in over 25 enter- prises. 載至2018年12月, 共有s个直接投资平 台、管理開金达到25亿元,累计投资企 业超过25家・	
		9 By the end of March 2018, it invested in 15 funds, for a total of 2.5 Billion yuan, and over- all available assets of 4.5 billion yuan. 載至2018年3月底,已投資15支基金, 总規模达25亿,总资产为45亿元。	10 As of June 2018, it contributed to 22 funds for a total size of 6 Billion yuan. 載至2018年6月,公司共设立22支基 金,总资金规模达到60亿元・	11 By the end of 2018, the com- pany invested in 30 funds, in- cluding industrial investment funds and platform investment funds. 截至2013年底,公司管理了近30支基 金,包括产业投资基金和平台投资基 金。	12 The target scale of the fund to invest in is 15 Billion RMB, and in the past it invested in 30 funds, and 45 innovative small and medium-sized enterprises, effectively playing the exem- plary role of guiding the funds to promote innovation and en- trepreneurship. 基金目标规模为150亿人民币, 共设立 了30家创业投资基金, 已投资45家创 新型中小企业, 有效发挥了推动创新创 业的示范作用.	
Registered Capital (1)	High Registered Capital: 1 if >1 Billion [5-9].	1 The institution has a registered capital of 100 million yuan, 公司的注册资本为1亿元人民币,	2 The financing platform has initial total assets of 500 million RMB, 融资平台的起始资本为5亿人民币, 6	3 The investment institution has a to- tal registered capital of RMB 1 bil- lion, 投资公司的注册资本是10亿元人民币,	4 The registered capital of the government-guided fund reaches RMB 1 billion, 引导基金的注册资本是10亿元人民币, 8	
		The guided fund has a regis- tered capital of 3 billion yuan, 引导基金资本的注册为30亿元人民币,	The investment institution has a registered capital of 3 billion yuan, 投资机构的注册资本为30亿元人民币,	The investment institution has a registered capital of RMB 5 billion, 风险投资的注册资本为50亿元人民币,	The guided fund has a regis- tered capital of RMB 5 billion, 政府引导基金的注册资本为50亿元人民 币.	
		The government guided fund, which provides strong support to advanced industries, has a registered capital of RMB 8 billion, —家为促进先进产业发展而设立的政府 引导基金,注册货本为80亿元人民币,				

Table A8	(cont.):	Description	of LP	Profiles	Randomized	Components

Variable	Categorical Value	Options					
Industry (0.5)	Industry: 1 if show industry information [1-21].	1 It focuses on the Internet in- dustry and provides financing service for enterprises in the industry. 重点我向互联网行业,并为企业提供金 融服务。	2 In the past, the institution has successfully funded several in- vestments in Social Network and Media. 已投資項目聚集在社交网络和媒体行 业。	3 The institution targets invest- ments in information technol- ogy and related sectors such as Blockchain, Big Data, Artifi- cial Intelligence, Robot, or Hu- man Face Recognition. 重点我向区块链、大数据、人工智能、 机器人或人脸识别等信息技术相关的高 科技领域,	4 The firm seeks to invest in Bio and Healthcare industries and actively seeks equity invest- ments or strategic buyouts. 或力于投資生物規序保健領域、并积 极寻求版权投資和战略性收购。		
		⁵ The primary industries of past investments include high- tech, high growth companies in clean technology, healthcare, and advanced manufacturing sectors. 优先投资领域为清洁技术、医疗保健、 先进制造业。	⁶ The investment scope includes advanced manufacturing, mod- ern agriculture, and the mar- itime economy. 重点投资领域包括先进制造业,现代农 业和海洋经济。	7 The main direction of the com- pany's investments is infras- tructure investment and the development of electric power, gas, water production and sup- ply, railway transportation and other industries. 主要我资方向是基础设施投资和电力、 天然气、水生产和供应、铁路运输和其 他行业。	8 Over the past years, the in- vestment focus has been been on new opportunities in the wealth management industry. 一直以来, 机肉专注财富管理行业的新 发展环境和机遇。		
		⁹ Core businesses include ven- ture capital broadly, and sec- tors related to fund man- agement, assets management, project assessment, and finan- cial advisory in finance. 公司核心业务包括风险投资、基金管 理、资产管理、项目评估和金融行业财 务咨询等服务。	¹⁰ The institution prefers invest- ments in fast-moving consumer products (Food and Bever- ages) and the broader services industry. 机构主要投资于快速消费品 (食品和饮 料) 和服务业。	11 The investments currently fo- cus on education and training. 公司目前的投资重点是教育和培训。	¹² The focus is on strategic emerging industries such as biotech, internet, new energy, new materials, new generation of information technology, cul- tural creativity, energy conser- vation, and environmental pro- tection. 专注于生物、互联网、新能源、新材 科、新一代信息技术、文化创意、节能 环保等战略性新兴产业。		
		¹³ The institution focuses on in- vestments in Aerospace re- lated industries, as well as industries such as life and health, ocean, military indus- try, robots, wearable, and in- telligent equipment. 致力于对航空航天相关行业的投资,同 时投向大键账,海洋, 军事工业, 机器 人、可穿戴设备和智能设备等行业。	¹⁴ The institution seeks opportu- nities in information technol- ogy, energy conservation and environmental protection, new energy, new materials, biotech- nology, high-end equipment manufacturing and other na- tional strategic emerging in- dustries. 在信息技术、节能环境、新能源、新材 料、生物技术、高端装备制造等国家战 關性新兴产业投资.	¹⁵ The incubation and invest- ment in the transformation of scientific and technologi- cal achievements includes in- formation technology, life sci- ences and Biological Medicine. 投资科技成果转化的孵化,包括信息技 术、生命科学和生物医学。	¹⁶ The institution is equipped with specialized investment teams that produced success- ful exits in various industries, such as agriculture, chemical engineering, energy, pharma- cutics, healthcare, and infor- mation technology. 机构拥有空业的团队,在农业、化学工 程、能源、制药,医疗保健、信息技术 等行业创造大量成功条例。		
		¹⁷ The fund pays important attention to intelligence- sensitive services, advanced manufacturing, environment protection, and energy saving industries. 重点投向先进制造业、环保和节能产 业。	¹⁸ The investment areas are very extensive, and include software and hardware companies, pro- duction companies and tech- nology service companies, in- cluding home and business mo- bile communications. 投资领域非常广泛,包括软件和硬件公 司、生产公司和技术服务公司, 涵盖家 庭和企业移动通信。	¹⁰ It regularly invests in satellite applications, information tech- nology, new materials and new energy, aerospace special tech- nologies, automation and spe- cial vehicles and other fields. 一直以未投资于航空航天、卫星应用、 信息技术、新材料和新能源、航空航天 等晚技术应用、汽车零部件和特种车辆 等领域。	20 To promote local high-tech in- dustry, the institution focuses on new materials, new equip- ment, new energy, new com- munication technologies, ma- rine tech, energy conservation and environmental protection, and life and health. 重点投肉前材料、新设备、新能源、 新一代通信技术,將許高材技、节能环 绿、生命健康等领域、促进当地高新技		
		21 The portfolio covers a broad spectrum of industries: finan- cial services, telecommunica- tions, media technology, en- ergy resources, and life sci- ences. 投资項目涉及广泛的行业, 金融服务, 电信、媒体技术、能源和生命科学。					
Founding Year (0.8)	Young LP: 1 if founded after 2010 [5-9].	1 founded in June 2000, 2000年成立,	2 formally established in 2002, 2002年成立,	3 had more than 15 years of experi- ence in assets management, 拥有超过15年的资金管理经验。 7	4 established at the beginning of 2007, 2007年初成立. *		
		o founded in December 2010, 2010年12月成立,	。 established in 2011, 2011年成立,	, founded in 2012, 2012年成立,	。 established in 2015, 2015年成立,		
		。 was recently established in 2016, 2016年新成立,					

Table A8 (cont.): Description of LP Prof.	iles Randomized Components
---	----------------------------

Variable	Categorical Value	Options							
Location of HQ (1)	Headquarter in Foreign Country: 1 if headquarter in Foreign Country [13,14]. Headquarter in Beijing:	1 located in Jiangsu Province. 位于江苏省。	2 set up in the Guizhou Province. 设立于贵州省。	3 headquartered in Shanghai. 总部位于上海市。	4 mainly invests in Shanghai and Yangtze River Delta. 投资主要覆盖上海和长三角地区。				
	1 if headquarter in Beijing [15,16].	5 located in Guangdong to promote the development of the Greater Bay area. 位于广东,致力于推动大湾区发展。	6 investment headquartered in Guangzhou. 投资总部设在广州。	7 located in the Shenzhen-Hong Kong Business Cooperation Zone. 地点位于深港业务合作区。	8 set up 10 business centers in 8 cities including Beijing, Shang- hai, Guangzhou, Shenzhen and Chongqing. 在北京、上海、广州、深圳、重庆 等8个城市分別设立了10个业务中心。				
		9 has 15 branches in 10 regions across the whole China. 在中国内地10个地区设有15家分支机 构。	10 which invests all provinces and cities across the country. 投资项目覆盖全国各省市。	11 established in Fujian Province as one of the most important invest- ment platforms. 是福建省重要的投资平台之一。	12 an influential investment institu- tion in Shandong Province. 是目前山东省具有影响力的投资机构。				
		¹³ headquartered in the Silicon Valley. 总部位于硅谷。	¹⁴ based in Singapore and con- centrated on Asia and growth markets. 位于新加坡,主要关注亚洲和新兴市 场。	¹⁵ located in Beijing. 位于北京 ·	¹⁶ headquartered in Beijing, it has offices in Europe and North America. 总部位于北京,在欧洲和北美设有分 支机构。				
Investment Philosophy (0.63)	Investment Philosophy: 1 1 if investment philosophy is included [1-10]. 1 Is investment phil		² To attract to the local area high-quality venture capital firms, projects, technologies, and talents, it focuses on culti- vating strategic and emerging industries. 投資や注于培育战略和新兴产业,以 吸引高质量的风险投资、项目、技术 和人才到当地。	3 It aims to enhance inde- pendent innovation ability through attracting venture capital investment into SMEs, especially science and tech- nology SMEs, and taking advantage of the amplifying effect of financial leverage. 为了发挥宽的杠杆放大效应, 感引 风险投资公司对中小企业的投资, 特 别是科技型企业, 以提高自主创新能 力。	4 It aims to enrich the struc- ture of financial products through technological and management innovation, thus enlarging the space for eco- nomic development and social reform. 送習宗旨是: 不断推进技术和管理创 新, 丰富金融产品结构,为经济发展 和社会改革提供更广阔的空间。				
		5 It aims to promote the devel- opment of the venture capi- tal market, thus accelerating the improvements of financ- ing environment and economic structure. 发展目标是促进风险投资环境的发 展,推进融资环境和经济结构调整。	⁶ Accelerating the improve- ment of industrial structure through the integration of high-quality social resources is its investment objective. 我習些將-集合优萬社会資源,促进产 业优化"的宗旨。	7 Its long-term goal is to pro- mote the development of high-tech industries in China through providing value- added services related to venture capital investment, thus nurturing strategic in- dustries and promoting the economic transformation. 长期目标是通过提供风险投资相关的 增值服务,促进中国高科技产业的发 展,培育战略性产业,实现经济转型 的目标。	8 It aims to attract social cap- ital to follow its investment, including prestigious venture capital institutions from both within and outside the local province. 引导基金吸引社会资本的范围包括省 内外的一流风险投资公司。				
		⁹ It implements a manage- ment system that separates management decision-making from the government; Its operation principles are "government guidance, mar- ket operation, amplification through leverage, and risk prevention". 机构实行管理决策与政府分离的管理 体制, 按照"政府引导,市场运作, 紅 杆放大和风险的表"的原则运作。	¹⁰ As a long-term investor, it has the investment philosophy of achieving the targeted return rate while keeping the risks low. 作为长期货货者,投资理念是在实现 回报目标同时控制风险。						

Table A8	(cont.):	Description	of LP	Profiles	Randomized	${\rm Components}$

Variable	Categorical Value	Options								
Corporate Governance (0.5)	Corporate Governance: 1 if corporate governance [1-7].	1 The organization adopts a rig- orous auditing and compli- ance system on par with in- ternational standards to better serve the interests of investors. 公司引入严格的审计和合规体系, 以更 好地服务于投资人的利益。	2 This firm implements strict risk management with mod- ern corporate governance prac- tices; it closely follows the core values of "integrity, profession- alization, standardization, and innovation". 公司思辞…诚信、专业、规范、创新"的 核心价值观、依靠现代化的公司治理。 广常的实施风险拉利省理。 6 7 10 6 To help start-ups establish a	³ With a professional team and an open cultural atmosphere, this firm offers comprehensive and professional financial ser- vices to the clients, and is com- mitted to becoming the most reliable, the most sustainable, and the most advanced firm in the industry. (本掌专业的员工团队,开放的文化氛 圈,为投资着提供全面和专业的全融展 务,致力于成为行业"费"者。最可称 续的和最领先的公司"。 ⁷ This firm operates, invests,	4 With the goal of accelerating industrial advancement and so- cial development, this firm has the following codes of con- duct: professionalization, in- novation, rigor, and efficiency. 公司監持を並、创新一一道、含效的推 則,以促进产业进步和社会发展发挥积 截作用。					
		an acceptable level of risk is its long-standing investment phi- losophy. 长期以来的投资理念是在可接受的风险 水平下带米长期回报。	leading position in their in- dustries, this firm established a standard and rigorous in- vestment and risk manage- ment system, introduced ad- vanced management philoso- phy and professional methods, and built an experienced and high-quality investment team. 建立概念. 严谨的投资资源体系和风险 控制体系. 引入先进管资源建态和专业管 塑料段. 培育了一支经验主篇、高素质 的投资团队,确立创业型企业的领先地 位。	manages, and withdraws in a market-oriented way. 以市场导向的方式运作、投资、管理和 撤回。						
(0.5)	Stage Focus: 1 if show stage focus [1-3]	¹ The purpose is to channel cap- ital to angel projects to help fi- nance early stage enterprises. 集中投资金本的早期天使项目,并发挥 对资本的编动作用。	2 It frequently provides financ- ing for investments in the growth and expansion stage, but it also invests selectively in early and late stage projects. 一直以来为企业的扩张的提供服置, 同时投资早期和晚期的项目。	³ The investments target late stage projects which can fa- cilitate the IPO of innovative companies. 投资着重于后期阶段,以帮助创新企业 实现上市目标。						

	Ν	Mean	SD	p10	p25	Median	p75	p90
Panel A: All								
All	513	9.87	5.99	4	6	9	12	18
Partner	175	11.59	6.54	5	7	10	15	20
Director	80	9.44	6.09	3	5	8	12	19
Manager/Executive	216	9.20	5.56	4	5	8	11	17
Other	42	6.93	3.06	3	5	6.5	9	11
Panel B: GPs								
All	344	9.58	5.40	4	6	9	11.5	16
Partner	142	10.96	6.29	5	7	9	14	20
Director	33	9.61	5.28	4	6	9	11	14
Manager/Executive	143	8.88	4.39	4	6	8	11	13
Other	26	5.92	2.02	3	5	6	7	9
Panel C: LPs								
All	169	10.45	7.04	3	5	9	14	20
Partner	33	14.33	7.00	6	9	15	19	21
Director	47	9.32	6.66	2	4	8	13	20
Manager/Executive	73	9.84	7.32	2	5	8	12	21
Other	16	8.56	3.76	3	6	9	11.5	14

TABLE A9. Years of Experience in the Firm of Targeted Respondents

Notes: This table reports summary statistics of year of experience in the respondent firm, for both GP and LP respondents. We have a total of 1,000 individual respondents, of which 688 are GP respondents and 312 are LP respondents. We categorize positions into four types: Partner, Director, Manager/Executive, and Other. *Partner* indicates a certain kind of partner. *Director* indicates members of the board of directors. *Manager/Executive* indicates a senior executive or department head/manager of an entity. *Other* indicates positions other than those mentioned above. We report the group-level mean, standard deviation, 10% percentile, 25% percentile, median, 75% percentile and 90% percentile. N indicates the number of non-missing values for each group.

	Expected	d Interest
	(1)	(2)
Government Ties	-0.077^{**} (-2.00)	-0.051 (-1.39)
Large Investor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.133^{***} \\ (3.84) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.140^{***} \\ (4.22) \end{array}$
High Registered Capital	$\begin{array}{c} 0.227^{***} \\ (6.45) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.224^{***} \\ (6.64) \end{array}$
Industry Information	-0.240*** (-6.99)	-0.181^{***} (-5.52)
Young LP	$0.014 \\ (0.41)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.032 \\ (0.95) \end{array}$
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$0.044 \\ (0.71)$	-0.017 (-0.27)
Headquarter In Beijing	$\begin{array}{c} 0.270^{***} \\ (5.32) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.244^{***} \\ (4.95) \end{array}$
Corporate Governance	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \\ (0.09) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.050 \\ (1.52) \end{array}$
Investment Philosophy	$\begin{array}{c} 0.006 \\ (0.16) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.046 \\ (1.35) \end{array}$
Stage Focus	-0.105^{***} (-3.02)	-0.091^{***} (-2.74)
Observations	13363	13363
Unique GPs	679	679
GP FEs	No	Yes
Model	OLS	OLS
DV Mean	6.425	6.425
DV SD	1.999	1.999

TABLE A10. GP Preferences for LPs: Expected Interest

Notes: This table shows how GP response to "Expected Interest" from potential LPs vary with LP profile characteristics. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. Expected Interest is on a scale of 1-10. Column 1 shows the baseline OLS. Column 2 shows the regression adding GP respondents fixed effects. t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	Partner	Rating
	(1)	(2)
Government Ties	-0.055*** (-2.79)	-0.041** (-2.03)
Large Investor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.069^{***} \\ (3.88) \end{array}$	0.088^{***} (4.78)
High Registered Capital	0.099^{***} (5.52)	0.106^{***} (5.75)
Industry Information	-0.102^{***} (-5.76)	-0.085^{***} (-4.67)
Young LP	$0.000 \\ (0.01)$	-0.006 (-0.34)
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$\begin{array}{c} 0.013 \ (0.40) \end{array}$	-0.021 (-0.63)
Headquarter In Beijing	0.100^{***} (3.72)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.094^{***} \\ (3.35) \end{array}$
Corporate Governance	$\begin{array}{c} 0.009 \\ (0.50) \end{array}$	0.032^{*} (1.77)
Investment Philosophy	$\begin{array}{c} 0.008 \ (0.43) \end{array}$	$0.018 \\ (0.97)$
Stage Focus	-0.038^{**} (-2.15)	-0.045^{**} (-2.42)
Observations	13375	13375
Unique GPs	679	679
GP FEs	No	Yes
Model	OLS	OLS
DV Mean	6.448	0.448
DV 5D	2.016	2.010

TABLE A11. GP Preferences for LPs (Ordered Probit)

Notes: This table shows GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics with an ordered probit model. Ordered probit cutpoints (column 1): -1.87, -1.57, -1.36, -1.18, -0.47, -0.03, 0.41, 0.96, 2.33. Ordered probit cutpoints (column 2): -2.60, -2.25, -1.99, -1.79, -0.97, -0.49, -0.02, 0.58, 2.17. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. *GovernmentTies* is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. Partner Rating is on a scale of 1-10. Column 1 shows the basic models. Column 2 shows regressions adding GP respondents fixed effects. *t* statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

	Cooperati (1)	on Interest (2)
Government Ties	-0.021*** (-3.05)	-0.014** (-2.23)
Large Investor	0.036^{***} (5.88)	0.039^{***} (7.22)
High Registered Capital	$\begin{array}{c} 0.047^{***} \\ (7.51) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.047^{***} \\ (8.34) \end{array}$
Industry Information	-0.055^{***} (-9.13)	-0.042*** (-7.79)
Young LP	-0.002 (-0.38)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.001 \\ (0.22) \end{array}$
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$0.009 \\ (0.78)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.006 \ (0.53) \end{array}$
Headquarter In Beijing	0.046^{***} (5.46)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.043^{***} \\ (5.56) \end{array}$
Corporate Governance	$0.002 \\ (0.25)$	0.011^{**} (2.11)
Investment Philosophy	-0.007 (-1.16)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \\ (0.54) \end{array}$
Stage Focus	-0.032^{***} (-5.19)	-0.030^{***} (-5.40)
Observations	13499	13499
Unique GPs	679	679
GP FEs	No	Yes
Model	OLS	OLS
DV Mean	0.852	0.852
DV SD	0.355	0.355

TABLE A12. GP Preferences for LPs: Cooperation Interest

Notes: This table shows GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics, using the dummy Cooperation Interest as dependent variable. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. Column 1 shows the basic models. Column 2 shows regressions adding GP respondents fixed effects. t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	Partner	· Rating
	(1)	(2)
Government Ties	-0.114^{***} (-2.79)	-0.079^{**} (-1.99)
Large Investor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.147^{***} \\ (4.28) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.167^{***} \\ (4.91) \end{array}$
High Registered Capital	0.196^{***} (5.50)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.185^{***} \\ (5.23) \end{array}$
Industry Information	-0.231^{***} (-6.54)	-0.178^{***} (-5.09)
Young LP	-0.004 (-0.12)	-0.010 (-0.28)
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$\begin{array}{c} 0.034 \\ (0.52) \end{array}$	-0.022 (-0.32)
Headquarter In Beijing	0.208^{***} (3.89)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.175^{***} \\ (3.27) \end{array}$
Corporate Governance	$\begin{array}{c} 0.013 \ (0.37) \end{array}$	$0.055 \\ (1.64)$
Investment Philosophy	$\begin{array}{c} 0.014 \ (0.39) \end{array}$	$0.039 \\ (1.14)$
Stage Focus	-0.085^{**} (-2.31)	-0.086** (-2.37)
Observations	13375	13375
Unique GPs	679	679
GP FEs	No	Yes
Model	OLS	OLS
DV Mean	6.448	6.448
DV SD	2.016	2.016

TABLE A13. GP Preferences for LPs: Clustering SEs at Respondent Level

Notes: This table shows GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics. Standard errors are clustered at the respondent level. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. Partner Rating is on a scale of 1-10. Column 1 shows the basic models. Column 2 shows regressions adding GP respondents fixed effects. Standard Errors are clustered at the respondent level. t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

		Job P	osition		Expecte	ed Interest	Perceived Importance		
	(1) Partner	(2) Director	(3) Manager	(4) Other	(5) High	(6) Low	(7) High	(8) Low	
Government Ties	-0.090 (-1.53)	-0.007 (-0.07)	-0.180*** (-2.86)	-0.146 (-0.70)	-0.066^{*} (-1.68)	-0.131** (-2.08)	-0.111* (-1.85)	-0.118** (-2.29)	
Large Investor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.131^{**} \\ (2.49) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.173^{*} \\ (1.92) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.168^{***} \\ (2.95) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.062 \\ (0.33) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.008 \\ (0.23) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.235^{***} \\ (4.11) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.207^{***} \\ (3.87) \end{array}$	0.099^{**} (2.14)	
High Registered Capital	$\begin{array}{c} 0.174^{***} \\ (3.26) \end{array}$	-0.010 (-0.11)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.293^{***} \\ (5.10) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.271 \\ (1.43) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.030 \\ (0.84) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.271^{***} \\ (4.75) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.176^{***} \\ (3.25) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.214^{***} \\ (4.56) \end{array}$	
Industry Information	-0.209*** (-4.00)	-0.112 (-1.25)	-0.263*** (-4.66)	-0.579^{***} (-3.19)	-0.019 (-0.54)	-0.360^{***} (-6.41)	-0.261*** (-4.94)	-0.207^{***} (-4.51)	
Young LP	-0.026 (-0.49)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.014 \\ (0.15) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.072 \\ (1.26) \end{array}$	-0.470^{**} (-2.53)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.007 \\ (0.20) \end{array}$	-0.028 (-0.50)	-0.003 (-0.06)	-0.007 (-0.15)	
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$\begin{array}{c} 0.035 \ (0.39) \end{array}$	-0.184 (-1.05)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.057 \\ (0.56) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.514^{*} \\ (1.83) \end{array}$	-0.071 (-1.08)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.132 \\ (1.36) \end{array}$	$0.121 \\ (1.29)$	-0.035 (-0.43)	
Headquarter In Beijing	$\begin{array}{c} 0.237^{***} \\ (2.99) \end{array}$	0.281^{**} (2.29)	$0.102 \\ (1.21)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.455 \\ (1.59) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.001 \\ (0.03) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.316^{***} \\ (3.55) \end{array}$	0.161^{**} (2.03)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.241^{***} \\ (3.56) \end{array}$	
Corporate Governance	$\begin{array}{c} 0.011 \\ (0.21) \end{array}$	$0.006 \\ (0.06)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.026 \\ (0.47) \end{array}$	-0.085 (-0.47)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.033 \\ (0.95) \end{array}$	-0.014 (-0.24)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.001 \\ (0.02) \end{array}$	$0.024 \\ (0.51)$	
Investment Philosophy	$\begin{array}{c} 0.050 \\ (0.91) \end{array}$	$0.102 \\ (1.10)$	-0.040 (-0.69)	-0.117 (-0.62)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.051 \\ (1.38) \end{array}$	-0.055 (-0.95)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.001 \\ (0.01) \end{array}$	$0.023 \\ (0.49)$	
Stage Focus	-0.095^{*} (-1.80)	-0.005 (-0.06)	-0.088 (-1.55)	-0.151 (-0.81)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.016 \\ (0.46) \end{array}$	-0.170^{***} (-2.99)	-0.031 (-0.58)	-0.126^{***} (-2.72)	
Observations	6119	1769	4917	570	6856	6519	5783	7592	
Unique GPs	311	89	249	30	672	676	293	386	
GP FEs	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Model	OLS C 411	OLS	OLS C 479	OLS C 199	OLS	OLS	OLS C 414	OLS	
DV Mean DV SD	0.411 2.051	0.575 1.885	0.478 1.992	0.182 2.202	7.072 1.458	5.791 2.204	0.414 2.024	0.473 2.010	
	2.001	1.000	1.334	2.202	1.400	4.494	2.024	2.010	

TABLE A14. GP Preferences for LPs: Robustness Sample Splits

Notes: This table reports the main results on GP preferences for LP characteristics for different sample splits, namely for different respondents' job positions, for high versus low expected interest in a given synthetic profile, and for high versus low stated perceived importance of our matching exercise. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. Partner Rating is on a scale of 1-10. Column 1-4 show regressions for different groups of GPs depending on the respondents' job position. Columns 5 and 6 show regressions for different groups of observations, namely those with an above-median versus below-median rating of Expected Interest (i.e., our second dimension over which GPs rate each synthetic profile). Columns 7 and 8 show regressions for different groups of GPs depending on umatching exercise is above-median or below-median. t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

		Job Position						Expected Interest				Perceived Importance				
	Pa	rtner	Di	rector	Mar	nager	Ot	her	I	High	L	ow	Н	igh	h Low	
	(1) Gov	(2) Non-Gov	(3) Gov	(4) Non-Gov	(5) Gov	(6) Non-Gov	(7) Gov	(8) Non-Gov	(9) Gov	(10) Non-Gov	(11) Gov	(12) Non-Gov	(13) Gov	(14) Non-Gov	(15) Gov	(16) Non-Gov
Government Ties	$0.238 \\ (1.65)$	-0.158^{**} (-2.44)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.016 \\ (0.10) \end{array}$	$0.010 \\ (0.08)$	-0.093 (-0.96)	-0.255^{***} (-3.06)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.422 \\ (1.34) \end{array} $	-0.295 (-1.12)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.061 \\ (0.88) \end{array}$	-0.123^{***} (-2.58)	-0.009 (-0.08)	-0.184** (-2.44)	$0.001 \\ (0.01)$	-0.161** (-2.22)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.031 \\ (0.33) \end{array}$	-0.185^{***} (-2.99)
Large Investor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.175 \\ (1.37) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.127^{**} \\ (2.21) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.252^{*} \\ (1.70) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.096 \\ (0.87) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.171^{**} \\ (1.96) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.162^{**} \\ (2.14) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.198 \\ (0.74) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.078 \\ (0.32) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.004 \\ (0.06) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.010 \\ (0.22) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.298^{***} \\ (2.84) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.212^{***} \\ (3.09) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.284^{***} \\ (3.00) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.166^{**} \\ (2.55) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.101 \\ (1.19) \end{array}$	0.099^{*} (1.78)
High Registered Capital	$\begin{array}{c} 0.350^{***} \\ (2.64) \end{array}$	0.145^{**} (2.48)	-0.158 (-1.05)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.092 \\ (0.82) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.336^{***} \ (3.81) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.257^{***} \\ (3.38) \end{array}$	-0.563^{**} (-2.06)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.649^{***} \\ (2.72) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.014 \\ (0.21) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.035 \\ (0.81) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.281^{***} \\ (2.71) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.272^{***} \\ (3.98) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.308^{***} \\ (3.19) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.110^{*} \\ (1.69) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.129 \\ (1.50) \end{array}$	0.252^{***} (4.48)
Industry Information	-0.084 (-0.65)	-0.236^{***} (-4.12)	-0.135 (-0.92)	-0.060 (-0.54)	-0.311^{***} (-3.64)	-0.223^{***} (-2.98)	-0.917^{***} (-3.00)	-0.329 (-1.45)	-0.046 (-0.73)	-0.010 (-0.22)	-0.401^{***} (-3.91)	-0.345^{***} (-5.12)	-0.312^{***} (-3.32)	-0.241^{***} (-3.76)	-0.204^{**} (-2.44)	-0.210*** (-3.82)
Young LP	-0.111 (-0.86)	-0.009 (-0.16)	-0.179 (-1.22)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.150 \\ (1.34) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.138 \\ (1.61) \end{array}$	0.014 (0.18)	-0.144 (-0.52)	-0.699^{***} (-2.95)	-0.005 (-0.08)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.011 \\ (0.25) \end{array}$	-0.062 (-0.60)	-0.013 (-0.19)	$0.008 \\ (0.08)$	-0.012 (-0.18)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.012 \\ (0.14) \end{array}$	-0.016 (-0.28)
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$\begin{array}{c} 0.096 \\ (0.45) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.020 \\ (0.20) \end{array}$	-0.403 (-1.42)	-0.010 (-0.04)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.106 \\ (0.70) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.023 \\ (0.16) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.431 \\ (0.96) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.572 \\ (1.57) \end{array}$	-0.068 (-0.57)	-0.071 (-0.89)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.069 \\ (0.39) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.162 \\ (1.37) \end{array}$	0.244 (1.48)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.066 \\ (0.57) \end{array}$	-0.149 (-1.01)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.017 \\ (0.17) \end{array}$
Headquarter In Beijing	$0.226 \\ (1.13)$	0.231^{***} (2.68)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.343^{*} \\ (1.65) \end{array}$	0.252^{*} (1.71)	0.312^{**} (2.44)	-0.067 (-0.60)	-0.415 (-0.89)	0.842^{**} (2.49)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.087 \\ (0.89) \end{array}$	-0.038 (-0.60)	0.408^{**} (2.54)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.274^{**} \\ (2.55) \end{array}$	0.314^{**} (2.16)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.087 \\ (0.92) \end{array}$	0.243^{**} (1.96)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.238^{***} \\ (2.93) \end{array}$
Corporate Governance	$\begin{array}{c} 0.016 \\ (0.13) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.014 \\ (0.24) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.155\\ (1.06) \end{array}$	-0.087 (-0.78)	-0.011 (-0.13)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.054 \\ (0.71) \end{array}$	0.655^{**} (2.32)	-0.387^{*} (-1.71)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.080 \\ (1.27) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.013 \\ (0.31) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.012 \\ (0.12) \end{array}$	-0.023 (-0.34)	$0.104 \\ (1.10)$	-0.051 (-0.79)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \\ (0.03) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.036 \\ (0.65) \end{array}$
Investment Philosophy	-0.081 (-0.61)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.079 \\ (1.31) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.169 \\ (1.09) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.064 \\ (0.57) \end{array}$	-0.014 (-0.16)	-0.057 (-0.73)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.331 \\ (1.13) \end{array}$	-0.161 (-0.69)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.090 \\ (1.37) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.036 \\ (0.81) \end{array}$	-0.108 (-1.03)	-0.029 (-0.41)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.061 \\ (0.63) \end{array}$	-0.026 (-0.39)	-0.042 (-0.49)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.052 \\ (0.91) \end{array}$
Stage Focus	$\begin{array}{c} 0.080 \\ (0.62) \end{array}$	-0.126^{**} (-2.18)	-0.194 (-1.30)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.121 \\ (1.09) \end{array}$	-0.126 (-1.47)	-0.047 (-0.62)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.132 \\ (0.45) \end{array}$	-0.278 (-1.20)	-0.010 (-0.15)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.027 \\ (0.64) \end{array}$	-0.077 (-0.74)	-0.207^{***} (-3.04)	-0.016 (-0.16)	-0.033 (-0.51)	-0.132 (-1.57)	-0.121** (-2.17)
Observations	1011	5108	760	1009	2270	2647	180	390	2183	4673	2038	4481	1901	3882	2320	5272
Unique GPs	52	259	38	51	115	134	9	21	212	460	213	463	96	197	118	268 È
GP FEs	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Model	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS
DV Mean	6.408	6.412	6.470	6.654	6.449	6.502	6.667	5.959	7.071	7.072	5.789	5.792	6.417	6.412	6.481	6.470
DV SD	2.044	2.052	2.029	1.766	2.053	1.938	1.849	2.315	1.465	1.455	2.336	2.275	2.061	2.005	2.020	2.006

TABLE A15. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity across Robustness Sample Splits and Government Ownership

Notes: This table compares government GP and nongovernment GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics for different sample splits, namely for different respondents' job positions, for high versus low expected interest in a given synthetic profile, and for high versus low stated perceived importance of our matching exercise. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. We run separate regressions for government GPs and nongovernment GPs and for each sample split. Gov-GPs are defined as GPs with government owners. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question within each specific group. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. Partner Rating is on a scale of 1-10. Column 1-8 show regressions for different groups of GPs depending on the respondents' job position. Columns 9-12 show regressions for different groups of observations, namely those with an above-median versus below-median rating of Expected Interest (i.e., our second dimension over which GPs rate each synthetic profile). Columns 13-16 show regressions for different groups of GPs depending on whether their stated perceived importance of our matching exercise is above-median or below-median. t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

TABLE A16. Variables in Synthetic GP Profiles

Variables	Description
Government Investors	A dummy indicating whether the GP has government investors.
Team Government Experience	A dummy indicating whether the GP's team has experience in government.
Team Industry Experience	A dummy indicating whether the GP's team has experience in industry.
High AUM	A dummy indicating whether the GP has high AUM ($>$ 500 million yuan).
High IRR	A dummy indicating whether the GP obtained past high IRR ($\geq 30\%$).
Exits	A dummy indicating whether the GP had past successful exits.
Ranked GP	A dummy indicating whether the profile is a top GP (a GP that has ever been ranked in top lists).
Industry Information	A dummy indicating whether the GP profile shows in- dustry information.
Young GP	A dummy indicating whether the GP is a young GP (founded after 2010).
Headquarter in Foreign Country	A dummy indicating whether the GP is headquartered in a foreign country.
Headquarter in Beijing	A dummy indicating whether the GP is located in Bei- jing.
VC	A dummy indicating whether the GP is a VC (and not a PE).
Market Approach	A dummy indicating whether the GP profile displays description of market approach.
Investment Philosophy	A dummy indicating whether the GP profile displays description of investment philosophy.
Investment Stage	A dummy indicating whether the GP profile displays the targeted stage of investments.
Investment Horizon	A dummy indicating whether the GP profile displays the typical investment horizon.
Serial Fund Manager	A dummy indicating whether the GP has managed funds in the past.

Notes: This table illustrates the coding of regressors based on original profile components. The first column shows the main regressors. The second column gives a brief description of the variables. See Appendix Table A17 for details on all profile components.

TABLE A17.	Description	of GP	Profiles	Randomized	Components
------------	-------------	-------	----------	------------	------------

Variable	Categorical Value	Options					
Government Investors (0.25)	Government Investors: 1 if government-related [2,3].	1 The investors include insur- ance, banking, and other finan- cial institutions. 投资人包括国内保险、银行等金融 机构。	² The investors include na- tional as well as local provincial and municipal governments. 投资人包括国家和地方省市政府引 尋基金。	³ The firm has been actively involved in industries with local government support. 公司积极参与地方政府支持的产业 建设。			
Team Government Experience (0.25)	Team Government Experience: 1 if team members have government-related experience [1-6].	¹ Team members have worked in the local gov- ernment and as leaders in the entrepreneurship development of the local government for many years, 合伙人多年来在当地政府或地方政 府的创业发展中担任重要职位,	² The team members have profound legal working ex- perience with IPOs, and are very familiar with the policies and regula- tions of the China Secu- rities Regulatory Commis- sion (CSRC), and with its regulation and risk man- agement policies, 团队拥有丰富的的上市法律经验, 熟悉中国证监会的政策法规、监管 和风险管理,	³ The partners worked in government departments for many years, and gained extensive experience in capital management, corporate mergers and acquisitions, and IPOs, 合伙人多年在政府新门工作, 在贤 本會理、企业并购和上市融资方面 积累了丰富的经验。	⁴ The team consists of both government officials and industry experts, who are skilled in project selection, 团队来自政府和行业专家,帶来丰 富的项目选择经验。		
		⁵ The partners have over 10 years of working experi- ence in state-owned com- panies, state-owned banks, and SASAC (State-owned Asset Supervision and Ad- ministration Commission), 合伙人在国有企业、国有银行或 国资委等拥有超过10年的工作经 验.	⁶ The team has deep con- nections with the govern- ment thanks to the lead- ing role played in equity in- vestments in major succes- sul projects, 团以与政府建立深厚联系,积累丰 富经验,成功领导了许多里程碑项 目的版权投资,				
Team Industry Experience (0.25)	Team Industry Experience: 1 if team members have industry-related experience [1-7].	¹ The team lead previous investments in numerous projects and has achieved remarkable success, and has accumulated experi- ence in assessing, structur- ing and managing invest- ments in China's unique environment, 团队投资了各种项目,并创造很多 成功案例,积累了在中国独特环境 下的投资经验,	² The individual partners obtained more than 10 patents on leading tech- nologies, 合伙人拥有超10项领先技术 专 利.	3 The team has extensive experience in asset man- agement and investment banking, 团队在资产管理和投资银行行业拥 有丰富的经验。	⁴ The partners have rich practical experiences in and deep understandings of China's capital market; they also have sharp in- sights and good judgment about the macroeconomic situation, industrial poli- cies, and project invest- ment. 合伙人对宏观经济形势有敏锐的洞 赛力,对中国的技术市场有深刻的 理解和丰富的实践经验,对产业政 策和项目投资具有良好的崩 喘 性和 判断力.		
		⁵ During the past 9 years, the team led the invest- ment in 8 companies, and 3 of them went public on the NASDAQ, 过去9年中, 团队主导投资5家金 业,其中3家在纳斯达克上市,	⁶ The co-founder previously worked at McKinsey & Co., Inc. and Goldman Sachs & Co., and had par- ticipated in several major investments, 创始人之前曾在安肖锡和高盛工 作,参与令企业服赏业务,	7 The team won the prize of China's Top Ten Venture Capitalist and of best in- vestors in the field of new technology, 团队成员曾获得中国最佳创业投资 家奖10强,新技术领域的最佳投 资者。			
AUM (0.8)	High AUM: 1 if >500 Million [4-8].	1 The firm managed 200 Million yuan of capital 公司管理资金数额为2亿元,	2 The firm's total assets under management are close to 450 Million yuan 机构管理的总资产接近4.5亿元,	3 The firm has 500 Million yuan of capital to manage 公司管理资金5亿元,	4 The firm managed over 800 Million yuan 公司管理资金总额超过8亿元,		
		⁵ The corporation managed 1 Billion yuan 机构管理10亿 元人民币,	⁶ The company managed projects for a total amount of 2.5 Billion yuan 公司管理基金投资项目25亿元,	7 The firm has assets under management that amount to over 5 Billion yuan 机构资产管理规模超过50亿元,	⁸ The firm has raised more than 10 billion yuan for its previous funds 机构吸引管理资金总额超过100亿 元,		
IRR (0.8)	High IRR: 1 if IRR \geq 30% [5-8].	1 achieved an average IRR of 10%. 达到投资项目的平均IRR接 近10%。	2 reached a comprehensive IRR of 15%. 完成投资项目总IRR达到15%.	3 led to an average IRR of 20%. 投资平均回报率为20%.	4 achieved a comprehensive IRR of 25%. 综合IRR达到25%。		
		Jead to great performance with an IRR of 30%. 取得了良好的业绩, IRR为30%。	and the investment port- folios reached an IRR of 35%. 投资组合的IRR为35%。	achieved an IRR of 60% that largely surpassed that of its competitors. IRR达到了60%, 远远超过了同 行业竞争对手。	。 reached the best perfor- mance in the venture cap- ital industry with IRR higher than 100%. 因队在风险投资行业中表现突 出, IRR絕过100%。		

Table A17 (co	ont.):	Description	of GP	Profiles	Randomized	Components
---------------	--------	-------------	-------	----------	------------	------------

Variable	Categorical Value	Options				
Investments and Exits (0.8)	Erits: 1 if show successful exits [3-8].	1 The firm supported 8 start- ups, 投资了8家初创公司,	2 It made 20 investments in the past five years, 过去5年进行了超20笔投资。	3 It invested in over 15 projects across China, and had 8 successful exits through trade sales and M&A activity, 在中国各地投资了15个项目, 成功退出了8家企业,	4 It invested in 18 compa- nies across various regions in China, three of which have since gone public, 在中国不同地区投资了18家公 司,3个投资項目上市,	
		Tt made 12 investments in the past five years, 3 of which have gone public in both domestic and interna- tional stock exchanges, 在过去5年中,共投资15家企业, 其中3家已在国内或国际证券交易 所上市.	By the end of 2018, the firm has made investments in 25 portfolio companies and has had 6 of them listed and 5 of them with successful exits through acquisitions, 截至018年底,已投资25家公司,其中6家上市,5家通过并购 成功退出,	Tt invested in over 50 projects, which lead to 15 listed companies, 在中国各地投资了50多个项目, 培育了15家上市公司,	⁵ Over the past years, the firm has invested in more than 100 technology com- panies worldwide, with more than 20 of them go- ing public or getting listed on the National Equities Exchange and Quotations (NEEQ), 在过去的几年里,机构在全球范 篇內投资了100多家科技公司,已 有20多个投资项目上市或在新三 数挂牌,	
Ranked GP (0.025)	Ranked GP: 1 if GP is top ranked [1-4].	¹ The company was ranked among the Top 20 VC Firms of the Year in 2018. 被评为2018年中国创业投资机构 前20强。	² The firm won the Top 50 VC Firms of the Year 2018. 赢得2018年中国创业投资机构 前50强的荣誉。	3 It was recognized among the Top 20 PE Firms of the Year in each of the past five years. 多次被评为年度私募股权投资机 构20强。	⁴ The private equity firm was ranked as the Top 50 PE Firms of the Year 2018. 成为2018年最佳50家私募投资机 构。	
Industry (0.5)	Industry: 1 if show industry information [1-16].	1 It focuses on the Internet industry and provides fi- nancing service for enter- prises in the industry. 重点投向互联网行业,并为企业提 供金融服务。	2 In the past, the company has successfully completed several investments in So- cial Network and Media. 已投资项目聚集在社交网络和媒体 行业.	³ The firm targets invest- ments in information tech- nology and related sec- tors such as Blockchain, Big Data, Artificial Intel- ligence, Robot, or Human Face Recognition. 重点投向区块链、大数器、人工等 能、机器人或人脸识别等信息技术 相关的高科技领域。	⁴ The firm seeks to invest in Bio and Healthcare indus- tries and actively seeks eq- uity investments or strate- gic buyouts. 致力于投资生物和医疗保健领域, 并积极寻求版权投资和战略性收 购。	
		⁵ The primary industries of past investments include high-tech, high growth companies in clean tech- nology, healthcare, and advanced manufacturing sectors. 优先投资领域为清洁技术、医疗保 健、先进制造业。	⁶ The investment scope in- cludes advanced manufac- turing, modern agricul- ture, and the maritime economy. 重点投资领域包括先进制造业、现 代农业和海洋经济。	⁷ The investments currently comprise primarily online education and training. 公司目前的投資重点是互联网教育 和培训。	⁵ The investment focus is on strategic emerging indus- tries such as biotech, in- ternet, new energy, new materials, new generation of information technology, cultural creativity, energy conservation, and environ- mental protection. 专注于生物、互联网、新能源、 新材料、新一代信息技术、文化创 意、节能环保等战略性新兴产业。	
		⁹ The firm focuses on invest- ments in Aerospace related industries, as well as in- dustry, scale, as life and health, ocean, military in- dustry, robots, wearable, and intelligent equipment. 致力于对航空航炭和长开业的极资, 同时投向大健康、海洋、军事 工业、机器人、可穿戴设备和智能 设备等行业。	¹⁰ The partners seek op- portunities in information technology, energy con- servation and environmen- tal protection, new energy, new materials, biotechnol- ogy, high-end equipment manufacturing and other national strategic emerg- ing industries. 在信息技术、节能环保、新能源、新材料、生物技术、高端装备纳造。	¹¹¹ The incubation and invest- ment in the transforma- tion of scientific and tech- nological achievements in- cludes information tech- nology, iffe sciences and Biological Medicine. 投资科技点果性化的解化,包括信 息技术、生命科学和生物医学。	¹² The investment team pays important attention to intelligence-sensitive services, advanced man- ufacturing, environment protection, and energy saving industries. 重点我向先进制造业、环保和节能 产业。	
		¹³ The investment areas are very extensive, and in- clude software and hard- tion companies, produc- tion companies, and tech- nology service companies, including home and busi- ness mobile communica- tions. 投资领域非常广泛,包括软件和 硬件公司、生产公司和技术服务公 司,涵盖家庭和企业移动通信。	14 It regularly invests in satellite applications, in- formation technology, new materials and new energy, aerospace special technolo- gies, automation and spe- cial vehicles and other fields. 一直以来投资于航空航天、卫星应 用、信息技术、新好科和新能源、 载空航天特殊技术应用、汽车零部 件和特种车辆等领域。	¹⁵ To promote local high- tech industry, the institu- tion focuses on new mate- rials, new equipment, new energy, new communica- tion technologies, marine tech, energy conservation and environmental protec- tion, and life and health. 重点我的前材料、新设备、新能 環、新一代通信技术、海洋高射 技、节能环保、生命健康等领域, 促进当地高新技术产业发展。	16 The portfolio covers a broad spectrum of indus- tries: financial services, telecommunications, me- dia technology, energy re- sources, and life sciences. 投资项目违办了这份行业、金融服 务、电信、媒体技术、能源和生命 科学.	

Variable	Categorical Value	Options				
VC Founding Year (0.5)	VC: 1 if VC [1-11]. Young GP: 1 if founded after 2010 [5-11].	1 The venture capital corpora- tion has 20 years of industry experience. 创业投资机构拥有近20年的行业经 验.	2 The venture capital firm was founded in 2007, 风险投资机构成立于2007年,	3 The venture capital firm was founded in 2008, 风险投资机构2008年创立,	4 The venture capital corpora- tion has 10 years of industry experience, 创业投资机构拥有10年的行业经 验.	
		⁵ The venture capital com- pany was established at the beginning of 2010, 创业投资机构成立于2010年初,	⁶ The venture capital firm was established in 2011, 一家创立于2011年的风险投资机 构,	7 The venture capital cor- poration was founded in 2012, 风险投资机构2012年创立,	⁸ The venture capital firm was founded in 2013, 一家2013年注册成立的风险投资 机构,	
		⁹ The growth equity focused firm was founded in 2014 and is specialized in strate- gic industries, 成立于2014年,专注于战略产业 的风险投资机构,	¹⁰ The venture capital in- vestor focuses on the Chi- nese market and was es- tablished in 2015, 一家 校主于中国市场的风险投资机 构,成立于2015年,	¹¹ The venture capital firm was established in 2016, 2016年成立的风险投资机构,		
PE Founding Year (0.5)	PE: 1 if PE [1-11]. Young GP: 1 if founded after 2010 [3-11].	1 The private equity firm was founded in 2008, 私募股权投资机构成立于2008年,	2 The private equity has 10 years of industry experience, 私募股权投资机构拥有10年的行业 经验。	³ The private equity com- pany was established at the beginning of 2010, 私募股权投资机构成立于2010年 初.	⁴ The private equity firm was established in 2011, 一家创立于2011年的私募股权投 资机构,	
		⁵ The private equity firm was founded in 2012, 私募股权投资机构2012年创立,	⁶ The private equity firm was founded in 2013, 一家2013年注册成立的私募股权 投资机构,	⁷ The private equity investor focuses on the Chinese market and was estab- lished in 2014, - 家 宅註干中国市场的私募股权投 资机构,成立于2014年,	⁸ The private equity firm was established in 2014, 2014年成立的私募股权投资机 构,	
		⁹ The private equity corporation was founded in 2015 and is specialized in emerging industries, 成立于2015年, 专注于新兴产业 的私募股权投资机构,	¹⁰ The private equity firm was established in 2015, 2015年成立的私募股权投资机 构,	¹¹ The private equity firm was established in 2016, 一家创立于2016年的私募股权投 资机构。		
Location of HQ (0.8)	Headquarter in Foreign Country: 1 if headquarter in Foreign Country [11]. Headquarter in Beijing:	1 located in the Zhejiang Province, 位于浙江省.	2 which invests all over the coun- try, 投资项目覆盖全国各省市,	3 has 15 branches across China, 在中国内地设有15个办公室,	4 headquartered in Shanghai, 总部位于上海市,	
1 if headquarter in Beijing [12-14].		5 located in Shanghai, 位于上海,	⁶ mainly invests in Shanghai and Yangtze River Delta, 投资主要覆盖上海和长三角地区,	7 located in Guangdong to pro- mote the development of the Greater Bay area, 位于广东,致力于推动大湾区发 展,	8 with the investment headquar- ter located in in Guangzhou, 投资总部设在广州,	
		9 located in Shenzhen, 位于深圳.	10 set up 10 branches in Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, Shen- zhen, and several other cities, 在北京,上海,深圳等城市设 立10个办事处.	¹¹ based in the U.S. and concentrated on Asia and growth markets, 在美国设立,专注于亚洲和新兴市 场,	¹² located in the Beijing province, 位于北京,	
		13 located in Beijing, 位于北京,	¹⁴ headquartered in Beijing, 总部位于北京,			

Table A17 (cont.): Description of GP Profiles Randomized Components

Table A17	(cont.):	Description	of GP	Profiles	Randomized	Components
-----------	----------	-------------	-------	----------	------------	------------

Variable	Categorical Value	Options				
Market Approach (0.8)	Market Approach: 1 if market approach [1-6].	1 This company aims to give full play to the role of the market in allo- cating resources and ex- pand private capital in- vestments in innovation and entrepreneurship, so as to promote the devel- opment of emerging indus- trics. 公司目标是先分发算市场资源配置 和扩大社会资本发觉创新创业的作 用,以促进新兴产业发展。	With the help of the private capital market and modern management practices, this organiza- tion channels capital to sectors of strategic im- portance and beneficial to social development. 公司依托资本市场, 运用现代管理 战略, 吸引各类社会资金投资具有 战略意义和促进社会发展的领域。	³ This organization is one of the earliest market- oriented financing plat- forms in China. The man- agement team is commit- ted to increasing investors' asset value, using modern management methods to protect investors' rights. 公司是最早的以市场为导向的投资 微资平台之一、管理着差印现代管 理方式维护投资者权力,致力于为 投资者资本保值增值。	4 This organization channels capital to independent in- novative enterprises na- tionwide. It aims to bet- ter promote technological innovation through its pro- fessional business model and its efficient and re- liable market-oriented in- vestment system. 机均面向全国自主创新企业、并 为其提供指金文纬、通过专业的经 谐模式、高效可靠的市场化投资体 系、更好是能动科技创新。	
		⁵ It is one of the earli- est market-oriented invest- ment firms in China, 中国最早成立的以市场为导向的投 资公司之一,	⁶ Independent decision- making, professionalism, and teamwork define the culture of this organiza- tion, 以独立決策、专业精神和团队精神 为组织文化。			
Investment Philosophy (0.5)	Investment Philosophy: 1 if investment philosophy is included [1-7].	1 It aims to enhance inde- pendent innovation abil- ity through increasing in- vestment into innovative startups, especially science and technology startups. 不斷加大对创新企业的投资,特别 是科技型企业,以提高自主创新能 力。	It aims to enrich the structure of financial prod- ucts through technological and management innova- tion, thus enlarging the space for economic devel- opment and social reform. 送留宗旨是.不断推进我本和管理 创新,丰富金融产品结构,促进经 济发展。	³ Accelerating the improve- ment of industrial struc- ture through the integra- tion of high-quality social resources is its investment objective. 投资坚持-集合优质社会资源,促 进产业发展*的宗旨。	4 Its long-term goal is to promote the development of high-tech industries in China through providing value-added services re- lated to venture capital investment, thus nurtur- ing strategic industries and promoting the economic transformation. 长期目标是通过提供风险投资相关 的增值服务, 促进中国高科技产业 的发展。	
		5 It helps entrepreneurs become leaders in their industries through work- ing closely with the entrepreneurs on as- pects including corporate strategy and business de- velopment. 通过与合作伙伴紧带合作,包括企 业战略,业务发展等,帮助他们成 为行业领导者.	6 It supports growing en- terprises with various ser- vices, with a focus on improving corporate in- vestment strategies and decision-making processes. 業觀干提升企业投稿比和決策能 力, 运用多种服务手段为成长型企 业提供全方位的增值服务.	7 It is dedicated to helping outstanding entrepreneurs build successful compa- nies, with the mission of helping founders and man- agement teams to scale the great companies of tomor- row. 致力于帮助优秀的企业家建立成功 的公司,并帮助创始人和管理团队 打造带大公司.		

Variable	Categorical Value		Opt	ions	
VC Stage (0.4)	Investment Stage: 1 if show stage focus [1-5].	1 which primarily focuses on early-stage venture capital investments. 专注于种子阶段和早期阶段风险投 资项目	2 which provides young en- trepreneurs with seed and early-stage capital. 集中方创业者提供种子阶段和早期 阶段资金。	3 which provides en- trepreneurs with early and growth stage financ- ing. 投资主要集中在早期和成长阶段。	4 which is a leading China venture capital firm with substantial experience in early and growth stage fi- nancing. 是中国领先的风投公司,在前期和 成长期阶段融资方面积累了丰富的 经验。
		⁵ which targets expansion- stage investments. 是中国领先的风投公司,在前期和 成长期阶段融资方面积累了丰富的 经验。			
PE Stage (0.4)	Investment Stage: 1 if show stage focus [1-5].	¹ which targets expansion- stage investments. 主导扩张阶段的风险投资。	² which focuses on late-stage investments. 主要后期阶段的投资。	3 which mainly invests in middle to late stage com- panies. 聚焦中后期阶段投资。	4 by targeting investment in the early, expansion, and late stage. 投资分布早期、成长到后期的不同 阶段。
		⁵ which invests in all stages of the life cycle from early stage to pre-IPO. 投资从早期到上市前公司生命周期 的所有阶段。			
Investment Horizon (0.4)	Investment Horizon: 1 if show concrete investment horizon [1-5].	1 with an average invest- ment horizon of 3 years, 平均投资期限为3年,	² mainly focused on long- term investment, 比较关注长期收益,	3 had an average investment horizon of 4 years, 平均投资年限是4年,	4 with an investment hori- zon of 5 to 7 years, 投资期限为5-7年,
		⁵ with a strategic of long- term investment and value creation, 战略是长期投资创造价值,			
Funds Managed (0.8)	Serial Fund Manager: 1 if show number of funds managed [1-8].	1 and established ten RMB funds. 改立10只人民币基金。	2 and had successfully raised 12 RMB funds. 成功设立了12支人民币基金。	3 and created more than 15 RMB funds. 拥有15家人民币基金。	4 and set up more than 16 investment funds. 设立了16只投资基金。
		⁵ with more than 20 venture capital funds raised. 拥有20多个风险投资基金。	⁶ and raised more than 25 funds with capital from in- stitutional investors. 管理基金25余只,主要来自机构 投资者。	⁷ with a total number of 45 sub-funds. 并且子基金总数达到45个。	⁸ and became one of the largest investment institu- tions with more than 60 funds raised and managed. 已成为国内较大的投资机构之一, 旗下拥有60多只基金。

Table A17 (cont.): Description of GP Profiles Randomized Components

	Expected (1)	l Interest
Government Investors	0.656*** (7.29)	(-) 0.675^{***} (7.42)
Team Government Experience	0.094 (1.14)	$0.089 \\ (1.05)$
Team Industry Experience	$0.104 \\ (1.26)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.110 \\ (1.30) \end{array}$
High AUM	0.125^{*} (1.70)	0.151^{**} (2.00)
High IRR	0.162^{**} (2.55)	0.186^{***} (2.87)
Exits	$0.058 \\ (0.86)$	$0.047 \\ (0.68)$
Ranked GP	-0.276 (-1.25)	-0.314 (-1.40)
Industry Information	0.595^{***} (10.13)	0.604^{***} (10.01)
Young GP	0.171^{**} (2.57)	0.152^{**} (2.21)
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$\begin{array}{c} 0.211 \\ (1.53) \end{array}$	$0.172 \\ (1.22)$
Headquarter In Beijing	-0.004 (-0.06)	-0.002 (-0.02)
VC	-0.076 (-0.87)	-0.123 (-1.38)
Market Approach	0.073 (1.02)	0.087 (1.17)
Investment Philosophy	$\begin{array}{c} 0.033 \ (0.56) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.031 \\ (0.52) \end{array}$
Investment Stage	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \\ (0.04) \end{array}$	$0.004 \\ (0.06)$
Investment Horizon	-0.064 (-1.02)	-0.048 (-0.75)
Serial Fund Manager	-0.124 (-1.37)	-0.157^{*} (-1.70)
Observations	6220	6220
Unique LPs	311	311
LP FEs	No	Yes
Model	OLS	OLS
DV Mean	4.265	4.265
DV SD	2.343	2.343

TABLE A18. LP Preferences for GPs: Expected Interest

Notes: This table shows LP preferences for GP synthetic characteristics measured by Expected Interest. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentInvestors_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. The sample includes all LP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. *GovernmentInvestors* is a dummy indicating whether the GP profile indicates the GP already had government investors. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Appendix Table A16. Expected Interest is on a scale of 1-10. Column 1 shows the basic models. Column 2 shows regressions adding LP respondents fixed effects. t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	Partner Rating			
	(1)	(2)		
Government Investors	0.270***	0.302***		
	(6.78)	(7.36)		
Team Government Experience	0.083**	0.082**		
1	(2.25)	(2.18)		
Team Industry Experience	0.024	0.020		
The second se	(0.65)	(0.52)		
High AUM	0.001	0.015		
	(0.04)	(0.46)		
High IBB	0.065**	0.071**		
ingii iitit	(2.33)	(2.46)		
Fxits	0.068**	0.074**		
	(2.27)	(2.40)		
Banked GP	-0.108	-0.102		
	(-1.05)	(-0.97)		
Industry Information	0 264***	0 278***		
industry institution	(10.06)	(10.20)		
Young GP	0.072**	0.057*		
	(2.42)	(1.85)		
Headquarter In Foreign Country	0.221***	0.217***		
nouqueror in Foreign County	(4.03)	(3.82)		
Headquarter In Beijing	0.032	0.032		
	(0.92)	(0.89)		
VC	0.013	-0.001		
	(0.34)	(-0.04)		
Market Approach	0.049	0.048		
	(1.52)	(1.46)		
Investment Philosophy	-0.020	-0.028		
	(-0.75)	(-1.05)		
Investment Stage	0.034	0.035		
	(1.07)	(1.06)		
Investment Horizon	-0.049*	-0.050^{*}		
	(-1.80)	(-1.75)		
Serial Fund Manager	0.027	0.012		
0	(0.67)	(0.29)		
Observations	6220	6220		
Unique LPs	311	311		
LP FEs	No	Yes		
Model	Ordered Probit	Ordered Probit		
DV Mean DV SD	4.284	4.284		
DV SD	2.326	2.326		

TABLE A19. LP Preferences for GPs (Ordered Probit)

Notes: This table shows LP preferences for GP synthetic characteristics using an ordered probit model. Ordered probit cutpoints (column 1): -0.75, -0.24, 0.17, 0.52, 1.07, 1.29, 1.57, 1.96; (column 2): -0.94, -0.42, 0.01, 0.38, 0.95, 1.17, 1.46, 1.86. The sample includes all LP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. *GovernmentInvestors* is a dummy indicating whether the GP profile indicates the GP already had government investors. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Appendix Table A16. Partner Rating is on a 1-10 scale. Column 1 shows the basic models. Column 2 shows regressions adding LP respondents fixed effects. *t* statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

	Partner (1)	Rating (2)
Government Investors	0.652***	0.692***
	(7.13)	(7.16)
Team Government Experience	(2.47)	(2.27)
Team Industry Experience	0.050 (0.65)	0.041 (0.50)
High AUM	0.025 (0.34)	0.056 (0.74)
High IRR	0.153^{**} (2.58)	0.159^{**} (2.56)
Exits	0.151^{**} (2.40)	0.160^{**} (2.44)
Top GP	-0.271 (-1.16)	-0.252 (-1.03)
Industry Information	0.631^{***} (10.75)	0.637^{***} (10.41)
Young GP	0.172^{***} (2.60)	0.137^{**} (1.98)
Headquarter In Foreign Country	0.490^{***} (4.09)	0.466^{***} (3.65)
Headquarter In Beijing	0.069 (0.90)	0.065 (0.81)
VC	0.019 (0.22)	-0.010 (-0.11)
Market Approach	0.111 (1.56)	0.106 (1.44)
Investment Philosophy	-0.029 (-0.51)	-0.042 (-0.70)
Investment Stage	0.076 (1.10)	0.072 (0.99)
Investment Horizon	-0.101* (-1.71)	-0.094 (-1.47)
Serial Fund Manager	0.042 (0.48)	0.007 (0.08)
Observations	6220	6220
Unique LPs	311	311
LP FEs	No	Yes
Model	OLS	OLS
DV Mean	4.284	4.284
DV SD	2.326	2.326

TABLE A20. LP Preferences for GPs: Clustering SEs at Respondent Level

Notes: This table shows LP preferences for GP synthetic characteristics. Standard errors are clustered at the respondent level. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentInvestors_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. The sample includes all LP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentInvestors is a dummy indicating whether the GP profile indicates the GP already had government investors. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Appendix Table A16. Partner Rating is on a 1-10 scale. Column 1 shows the basic models. Column 2 shows regressions adding LP respondents fixed effects. Standard Errors are clustered at the respondent level. t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	(1) Gov	(2) Non-Gov	$\begin{array}{c} (1)=(2)\\ \text{P-Value} \end{array}$	(3) Gov	(4) Non-Gov	$\begin{array}{c} (3) = (4) \\ P-Value \end{array}$
Government Investors	$\begin{array}{c} 0.714^{***} \\ (6.96) \end{array}$	0.431^{**} (2.34)	0.178	$\begin{array}{c} 0.762^{***} \\ (7.33) \end{array}$	0.447^{**} (2.36)	0.134
Team Government Experience	$\begin{array}{c} 0.146 \\ (1.54) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.342^{**} \\ (2.11) \end{array}$	0.292	$0.120 \\ (1.26)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.397^{**} \\ (2.38) \end{array}$	0.137
Team Industry Experience	$\begin{array}{c} 0.036 \ (0.38) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.096 \\ (0.56) \end{array}$	0.759	$\begin{array}{c} 0.035 \ (0.37) \end{array}$	$0.069 \\ (0.40)$	0.862
High AUM	$0.038 \\ (0.46)$	-0.025 (-0.17)	0.709	$0.086 \\ (1.03)$	-0.046 (-0.30)	0.433
High IRR	$\begin{array}{c} 0.097 \\ (1.36) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.341^{***} \\ (2.67) \end{array}$	0.094	$\begin{array}{c} 0.101 \\ (1.38) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.352^{***} \\ (2.72) \end{array}$	0.082
Exits	0.188^{**} (2.49)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.025 \\ (0.18) \end{array}$	0.303	0.195^{**} (2.51)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.041 \\ (0.29) \end{array}$	0.330
Ranked GP	-0.322 (-1.23)	-0.193 (-0.46)	0.792	-0.304 (-1.18)	-0.200 (-0.46)	0.834
Industry Information	$\begin{array}{c} 0.642^{***} \\ (9.61) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.597^{***} \\ (5.04) \end{array}$	0.738	$\begin{array}{c} 0.643^{***} \\ (9.44) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.632^{***} \\ (5.11) \end{array}$	0.938
Young GP	0.157^{**} (2.07)	0.220^{*} (1.65)	0.683	$0.116 \\ (1.49)$	$0.208 \\ (1.51)$	0.549
Headquarter In Foreign Country	0.508^{***} (3.42)	0.449^{*} (1.82)	0.839	0.456^{***} (3.06)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.503^{*} \ (1.94) \end{array}$	0.872
Headquarter In Beijing	$\begin{array}{c} 0.031 \\ (0.35) \end{array}$	$0.198 \\ (1.20)$	0.372	$\begin{array}{c} 0.032 \\ (0.35) \end{array}$	$0.178 \\ (1.06)$	0.432
VC	$0.008 \\ (0.08)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.059 \\ (0.34) \end{array}$	0.798	-0.041 (-0.41)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.088 \\ (0.50) \end{array}$	0.510
Market Approach	0.142^{*} (1.72)	-0.005 (-0.03)	0.381	$\begin{array}{c} 0.136 \\ (1.61) \end{array}$	-0.006 (-0.04)	0.395
Investment Philosophy	-0.013 (-0.19)	-0.067 (-0.57)	0.689	-0.015 (-0.22)	-0.120 (-1.00)	0.434
Investment Stage	$\begin{array}{c} 0.059 \\ (0.72) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.142 \\ (0.97) \end{array}$	0.617	$0.056 \\ (0.68)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.123 \\ (0.80) \end{array}$	0.692
Investment Horizon	-0.078 (-1.10)	-0.164 (-1.34)	0.542	-0.059 (-0.82)	-0.202 (-1.61)	0.309
Serial Fund Manager	$\begin{array}{c} 0.056 \\ (0.54) \end{array}$	-0.018 (-0.10)	0.720	$\begin{array}{c} 0.021 \\ (0.20) \end{array}$	-0.059 (-0.32)	0.696
Observations Unique LPs LP FEs Model DV Mean DV SD	4760 238 No OLS 4.284 2.326	1460 73 No OLS 4.284 2.326	SUR	4760 238 Yes OLS 4.284 2.326	1460 73 Yes OLS 4.284 2.326	SUR

TABLE A21. LP Preferences for GPs: Heterogeneity by Government-Owned LPs

Notes: This table compares government LP and nongovernment LP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. We run separate regressions for government LPs and nongovernment LPs. Gov-LPs are defined as LPs with government owners. The sample includes all LP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. *GovernmentInvestors* is a dummy indicating whether the GP profile indicates the GP already had government investors. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Appendix Table A16. Partner Rating is on a scale of 1-10. Columns 1 and 2 show the basic models for government LPs and nongovernment LPs respectively. Column 3 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 1 and 2 using SUR model. Columns 4 and 5 show regressions with LP respondents fixed **9f** ects. Column 6 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 using SUR model. *t* statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	(1) Gov	(2) Non-Gov	$\begin{array}{c} (1) = (2) \\ P-Value \end{array}$	(3) Gov	(4) Non-Gov	$\begin{array}{c} (3)=(4) \\ \text{P-Value} \end{array}$
GovTies-Central	-0.348* (-1.89)	-0.311** (-2.32)	0.870	-0.388** (-2.27)	-0.292^{**} (-2.45)	0.637
GovTies-Provincial	$\begin{array}{c} 0.047 \\ (0.61) \end{array}$	-0.184^{***} (-3.59)	0.012	$\begin{array}{c} 0.045 \\ (0.62) \end{array}$	-0.120^{**} (-2.52)	0.052
GovTies-Local	$0.221 \\ (1.24)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.052 \\ (0.49) \end{array}$	0.413	$0.201 \\ (1.15)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.077 \\ (0.73) \end{array}$	0.531
Large Investor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.186^{***} \\ (2.95) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.131^{***} \\ (3.12) \end{array}$	0.474	$\begin{array}{c} 0.187^{***} \\ (3.10) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.157^{***} \\ (3.94) \end{array}$	0.673
High Registered Capital	$\begin{array}{c} 0.212^{***} \\ (3.29) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.191^{***} \\ (4.49) \end{array}$	0.788	0.164^{***} (2.67)	0.195^{***} (4.88)	0.656
Industry Information	-0.254^{***} (-4.07)	-0.222^{***} (-5.33)	0.673	-0.171^{***} (-2.83)	-0.181^{***} (-4.59)	0.880
Young LP	$\begin{array}{c} 0.010 \\ (0.16) \end{array}$	-0.010 (-0.23)	0.796	-0.007 (-0.11)	-0.011 (-0.27)	0.957
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$\begin{array}{c} 0.037 \\ (0.33) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.043 \\ (0.57) \end{array}$	0.964	-0.081 (-0.72)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.017 \\ (0.23) \end{array}$	0.451
Headquarter In Beijing	$\begin{array}{c} 0.329^{***} \\ (3.37) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.193^{***} \\ (3.03) \end{array}$	0.242	$\begin{array}{c} 0.278^{***} \\ (2.92) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.173^{***} \\ (2.82) \end{array}$	0.342
Corporate Governance	$\begin{array}{c} 0.046 \\ (0.73) \end{array}$	-0.002 (-0.06)	0.521	0.123^{**} (2.04)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.025 \\ (0.64) \end{array}$	0.165
Investment Philosophy	$0.008 \\ (0.13)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.021 \\ (0.48) \end{array}$	0.871	$\begin{array}{c} 0.051 \\ (0.82) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.038 \\ (0.92) \end{array}$	0.858
Stage Focus	-0.081 (-1.28)	-0.085^{**} (-2.01)	0.960	-0.115^{*} (-1.89)	-0.072^{*} (-1.80)	0.544
Observations	4221	9154		4221	9154	
Unique GPs	214	465		214	465	
GP FEs	No	No		Yes	Yes	
Model	OLS	OLS	SUR	OLS	OLS	SUR
DV Mean	6.452	6.445		6.452	6.445	
DV SD	2.038	2.006		2.038	2.006	

TABLE A22. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity by Government-Owned GPs and across Government Levels

Notes: This table compares government GP and nongovernment GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics where LPs' government ties are divided into 3 levels, Central, Provincial and Local. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. We run separate regressions for government GPs and nongovernment GPs. Gov-GPs are defined as GPs with government owners. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. *GovTies-Central*, *GovTies-Provincial* and *GovTies-Local* are dummy variables indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the central, provincial and local government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. Partner Rating is on a scale of 1-10. Columns 1 and 2 show the basic models for government GPs and nongovernment GPs respectively. Column 3 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 1 and 2 using SUR model. Columns 4 and 5 show regressions with GP respondents fixed effects. Column 6 shows the difference in coefficients in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 using SUR model. t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	(1) Partner Rating
Large Investor	$0.149^{***} \\ (4.25)$
High Registered Capital	0.196^{***} (5.52)
Industry Information	-0.232^{***} (-6.71)
Young LP	-0.005 (-0.13)
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$0.034 \\ (0.55)$
Headquarter In Beijing	0.208^{***} (4.03)
Corporate Governance	$0.013 \\ (0.36)$
Investment Philosophy	$0.016 \\ (0.45)$
Stage Focus	-0.085^{**} (-2.42)
Gov GP=0 × High Quality GP=0 × Government Ties=1	-0.150^{***} (-2.62)
Gov GP=0 × High Quality GP=1 × Government Ties=0	-0.031 (-0.58)
Gov GP=0 × High Quality GP=1 × Government Ties=1	-0.233^{***} (-3.39)
Gov GP=1 × High Quality GP=0 × Government Ties=0	-0.089 (-1.46)
Gov GP=1 × High Quality GP=0 × Government Ties=1	-0.128 (-1.50)
Gov GP=1 × High Quality GP=1 × Government Ties=0	-0.041 (-0.65)
Gov GP=1 \times High Quality GP=1 \times Government Ties=1	$0.011 \\ (0.13)$
Observations Unique GPs GP FEs Model DV Mean DV SD	$13375 \\ 679 \\ No \\ OLS \\ 6.448 \\ 2.016$

TABLE A23. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity by Respondent Type

Notes: This table shows GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics, adding joint respondents' government ownership and quality grouping. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \sum_{k=1}^{7} \beta_k Gov GP_i \times HighQuality GP_i \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$, where *i* is the GP respondent, and *j* indicates the synthetic LP profile. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. Partner Rating is on a scale of 1-10. GovGP indicates whether the GP respondent is government owned, defined as GP with ultimate government owners. HighQualityGP indicates whether the GP respondent is a high quality GP, defined as GP with above-median comprehensive return or that has ever been top-ranked by Zero2IPO. *t* statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	(1) Gov	(2) Non-Gov	$\begin{array}{c} (1)=(2)\\ \text{P-Value} \end{array}$	(3) Gov	(4) Non-Gov	$\begin{array}{c} (3)=(4)\\ \text{P-Value} \end{array}$
Government Ties	$0.028 \\ (0.40)$	-0.173^{***} (-3.66)	0.018	$0.020 \\ (0.30)$	-0.112^{**} (-2.54)	0.093
Large Investor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.188^{***} \\ (2.98) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.131^{***} \\ (3.12) \end{array}$	0.458	0.190^{***} (3.15)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.157^{***} \\ (3.94) \end{array}$	0.640
High Registered Capital	0.209^{***} (3.26)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.188^{***} \\ (4.43) \end{array}$	0.787	$\begin{array}{c} 0.161^{***} \\ (2.63) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.195^{***} \\ (4.87) \end{array}$	0.634
Industry Information	-0.344^{***} (-4.16)	-0.290^{***} (-5.54)	0.584	-0.304*** (-3.74)	-0.248^{***} (-4.93)	0.555
Young LP	$\begin{array}{c} 0.012 \\ (0.20) \end{array}$	-0.012 (-0.29)	0.744	-0.006 (-0.10)	-0.013 (-0.33)	0.919
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$\begin{array}{c} 0.079 \\ (0.67) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.039 \\ (0.49) \end{array}$	0.777	-0.050 (-0.41)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.033 \ (0.42) \end{array}$	0.549
Headquarter In Beijing	$\begin{array}{c} 0.262^{***} \\ (2.75) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.176^{***} \\ (2.83) \end{array}$	0.448	0.211^{**} (2.27)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.146^{**} \\ (2.44) \end{array}$	0.547
Corporate Governance	$\begin{array}{c} 0.051 \\ (0.81) \end{array}$	-0.001 (-0.02)	0.492	$\begin{array}{c} 0.129^{**} \\ (2.14) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.026 \\ (0.66) \end{array}$	0.145
Investment Philosophy	$0.009 \\ (0.13)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.021 \\ (0.49) \end{array}$	0.873	$\begin{array}{c} 0.049 \\ (0.80) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.039 \\ (0.94) \end{array}$	0.880
Stage Focus	-0.081 (-1.29)	-0.085^{**} (-2.01)	0.964	-0.114^{*} (-1.87)	-0.071^{*} (-1.79)	0.549
Same Investment Region	$\begin{array}{c} 0.092 \\ (1.35) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \\ (0.06) \end{array}$	0.275	$\begin{array}{c} 0.070 \\ (0.94) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.038 \\ (0.81) \end{array}$	0.715
Same Investment Industry	0.155^{*} (1.69)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.131^{**} \\ (2.15) \end{array}$	0.827	0.229^{**} (2.46)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.129^{**} \\ (2.14) \end{array}$	0.355
Observations	4221	9154		4221	9154	
Unique GPs	214	465		214	465	
GP FEs	No	No	~~~~	Yes	Yes	
Model	OLS	OLS	SUR	OLS	OLS	SUR
DV Mean DV SD	6.452	6.445		6.452 2.028	6.445	
Corporate Governance Investment Philosophy Stage Focus Same Investment Region Same Investment Industry Observations Unique GPs GP FEs Model DV Mean DV SD	$\begin{array}{c} (2.75) \\ 0.051 \\ (0.81) \\ 0.009 \\ (0.13) \\ -0.081 \\ (-1.29) \\ 0.092 \\ (1.35) \\ 0.155^* \\ (1.69) \\ \hline \\ 4221 \\ 214 \\ No \\ OLS \\ 6.452 \\ 2.038 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (2.83) \\ -0.001 \\ (-0.02) \\ 0.021 \\ (0.49) \\ -0.085^{**} \\ (-2.01) \\ 0.003 \\ (0.06) \\ 0.131^{**} \\ (2.15) \\ \end{array}$	0.492 0.873 0.964 0.275 0.827 SUR	$\begin{array}{c} (2.27)\\ 0.129^{**}\\ (2.14)\\ 0.049\\ (0.80)\\ -0.114^{*}\\ (-1.87)\\ 0.070\\ (0.94)\\ 0.229^{**}\\ (2.46)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} (2.44) \\ 0.026 \\ (0.66) \\ 0.039 \\ (0.94) \\ -0.071^* \\ (-1.79) \\ 0.038 \\ (0.81) \\ 0.129^{**} \\ (2.14) \\ \end{array}$	0.145 0.880 0.549 0.715 0.355 SUR

TABLE A24. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity by Government-Owned GPs, Controlling for Same Industry and Region)

Notes: This table compares government GP and nongovernment GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics, controlling for whether the respondent is focused on the same investment industry and same investment region displayed in the synthetic partner profile. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \rho_k \times RobustnessCheckTerm_{jk} + \epsilon_{ij}$. We run separate regressions for government GPs and nongovernment GPs. Gov-GPs are defined as GPs with government owners. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. Same Investment Region and Same Investment Industry indicate whether the synthetic LP has same investment region or investment industry of the GP respondent, respectively. Province-level matching is used when constructing the Same Region. 4-digit level industrial classification (or the finest available classification) is used when constructing the Same Industry. Partner Rating is on a scale of 1-10. Columns 1 and 2 using SUR model. Columns 4 and 5 show regressions with GP respondents fixed effects. Column 6 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 using SUR model.t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	Partner	Rating (2)
Covernment Ties	0.100***	0.070*
Government Ties	(-2.79)	(-1.89)
Large Investor	0.148***	0.168***
	(4.24)	(5.06)
High Registered Capital	0.195***	0.185***
	(5.51)	(5.53)
Industry Information	-0.305^{***}	-0.264^{***}
	(-6.91)	(-6.17)
Young LP	-0.004	-0.010
	(-0.12)	(-0.29)
Headquarter In Foreign Country	0.051	0.007
	(0.79)	(0.10)
Headquarter In Beijing	0.203***	0.167^{***}
	(3.91)	(3.32)
Corporate Governance	0.016	0.058^{*}
	(0.45)	(1.75)
Investment Philosophy	0.015	0.041
investment i intesepity	(0.42)	(1.20)
Stage Focus	-0.086**	-0.086**
Stage Pocus	(-2.45)	(-2.57)
Came Investment Devien	0.022	0.050
Same investment Region	(0.055)	(1.25)
	0.100***	0.100***
Same Investment Industry	(2.73)	(3.15)
	(2.13)	(0.10)
Observations U.: CD	13375	13375
Omque GPS CP FEs	079 No	079 Ves
Model	OLS	OLS
DV Mean	6.448	6.448
DV SD	2.016	2.016

TABLE A25. GP Preferences for LPs, Controlling for Same Industry and Region

Notes: This table shows GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics, controlling for whether the respondent is focused on the same investment industry and same investment region displayed in the synthetic partner profile. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \rho_k \times RobustnessCheckTerm_{jk} + \epsilon_{ij}$. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. Same Investment Region and Same Investment Industry indicate whether the synthetic LP has same investment region or investment industry of the GP respondent, respectively. Province-level matching is used when constructing the Same Region. 4-digit level industrial classification (or the finest available classification) is used when constructing the Same Industry. Partner Rating is on a scale of 1-10. Column 1 shows the basic models. Column 2 shows regressions adding GP respondents fixed effects. t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	(1) Gov	(2) Non-Gov	(1)=(2) P-Value	(3) Gov	(4) Non-Gov	$\begin{array}{c} (3) = (4) \\ P-Value \end{array}$
Government Ties	0.017 (0.24)	-0.172^{***} (-3.65)	0.026	0.008 (0.13)	-0.119^{***} (-2.70)	0.104
Large Investor	0.187^{***} (2.96)	0.131^{***} (3.11)	0.460	0.186^{***} (3.08)	0.157^{***} (3.94)	0.682
High Registered Capital	$\begin{array}{c} 0.211^{***} \\ (3.29) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.189^{***} \\ (4.45) \end{array}$	0.773	0.163^{***} (2.66)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.194^{***} \\ (4.85) \end{array}$	0.664
Industry Information	-0.255^{***} (-4.10)	-0.222^{***} (-5.33)	0.655	-0.172^{***} (-2.84)	-0.181*** (-4.59)	0.893
Young LP	$0.011 \\ (0.18)$	-0.009 (-0.22)	0.788	-0.007 (-0.11)	-0.013 (-0.33)	0.931
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$0.029 \\ (0.26)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.039 \\ (0.52) \end{array}$	0.938	-0.091 (-0.81)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.011 \\ (0.15) \end{array}$	0.431
Headquarter In Beijing	$\begin{array}{c} 0.282^{***} \\ (3.00) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.175^{***} \\ (2.84) \end{array}$	0.338	0.226^{**} (2.46)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.151^{**} \\ (2.54) \end{array}$	0.486
Corporate Governance	$\begin{array}{c} 0.047 \\ (0.76) \end{array}$	-0.003 (-0.07)	0.505	0.123^{**} (2.05)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.024 \\ (0.62) \end{array}$	0.160
Investment Philosophy	$0.009 \\ (0.14)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.019 \\ (0.45) \end{array}$	0.891	$\begin{array}{c} 0.050 \\ (0.80) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.036 \\ (0.88) \end{array}$	0.852
Stage Focus	-0.081 (-1.29)	-0.081^{*} (-1.93)	0.997	-0.115^{*} (-1.90)	-0.071^{*} (-1.78)	0.531
Observations	4221	9154		4221	9154	
Unique GPs	214	465		214	465	
GP FEs	No	No		Yes	Yes	
Model	OLS	OLS	SUR	OLS	OLS	SUR
Control for Gov Investor	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
DV Mean	6.452	6.445		6.452	6.445	
DV SD	2.038	2.006		2.038	2.006	

TABLE A26. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity by Government-Owned GPs, Controlling for Having Government Investors

Notes: This table compares government GP and nongovernment GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics, controlling for whether the respondent has had a government investor over the past 3 years. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \rho \times HadGov-LP_j + \epsilon_{ij}$. We run separate regressions for government GPs and nongovernment GPs. Gov-GPs are defined as GPs with government owners. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. The dummy HadGov-LP indicates whether the GP has received funding from government-owned LPs. Partner Rating and is on a scale of 1-10. Columns 1 and 2 show the basic models for government GPs and nongovernment GPs respectively. Column 3 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 1 and 2 using SUR model. Columns 4 and 5 show regressions with GP respondents fixed effects. Column 6 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 using SUR model.t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	(1) W/Gov Inv	(2) W/out Gov Inv	(1)=(2) P-Value	(3) W/Gov Inv	(4) W/out Gov Inv	$\begin{array}{c} (3) = (4) \\ P-Value \end{array}$
Government Ties	-0.139* (-1.89)	-0.099** (-2.16)	0.646	-0.092 (-1.35)	-0.072* (-1.67)	0.802
Large Investor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.214^{***} \\ (3.24) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.117^{***} \\ (2.85) \end{array}$	0.213	$\begin{array}{c} 0.235^{***} \\ (3.80) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.135^{***} \\ (3.44) \end{array}$	0.161
High Registered Capital	$\begin{array}{c} 0.186^{***} \\ (2.79) \end{array}$	0.201^{***} (4.83)	0.850	$\begin{array}{c} 0.172^{***} \\ (2.76) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.191^{***} \\ (4.81) \end{array}$	0.786
Industry Information	-0.256^{***} (-3.91)	-0.220^{***} (-5.41)	0.640	-0.178^{***} (-2.87)	-0.178^{***} (-4.56)	0.993
Young LP	-0.070 (-1.07)	$0.028 \\ (0.69)$	0.203	-0.037 (-0.59)	$0.002 \\ (0.06)$	0.587
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$\begin{array}{c} 0.051 \\ (0.46) \end{array}$	$0.031 \\ (0.42)$	0.884	-0.039 (-0.34)	-0.013 (-0.18)	0.848
Headquarter In Beijing	$\begin{array}{c} 0.152 \\ (1.53) \end{array}$	0.236^{***} (3.95)	0.468	$0.098 \\ (1.02)$	0.211^{***} (3.64)	0.297
Corporate Governance	-0.057 (-0.87)	0.046 (1.12)	0.182	$0.008 \\ (0.13)$	0.077^{**} (1.96)	0.328
Investment Philosophy	$\begin{array}{c} 0.030 \\ (0.44) \end{array}$	$0.008 \\ (0.19)$	0.782	$\begin{array}{c} 0.033 \\ (0.51) \end{array}$	$0.042 \\ (1.04)$	0.892
Stage Focus	-0.115^{*} (-1.74)	-0.070^{*} (-1.69)	0.563	-0.120^{*} (-1.95)	-0.071^{*} (-1.79)	0.482
Observations	4160	9215		4160	9215	
Unique GPs	212	467		212	467	
GP FEs	No	No	07.TD	Yes	Yes	
Model	OLS	OLS	SUR	OLS	OLS	SUR
DV Mean DV CD	6.326	6.502		6.326	6.502	
DV 5D	2.120	1.965		2.120	1.965	

TABLE A27. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity by Gov-LP-linked GPs

Notes: This table shows GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics, distinguishing between GPs that have had a government investor in the past 3 years and those that have not. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. We run separate regressions for GPs that had a government investor in the past 3 years and other GPs. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. Partner Rating is on a scale of 1-10. Columns 1 and 2 show the basic models for government-LP-linked GPs and nongovernment-LP-linked GPs respectively. Column 3 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 show regressions with GP respondents fixed effects. Column 6 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 using SUR model.t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	(1)	(2)	(1)=(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)=(4)
	Gov	Non-Gov	P-Value	Gov	Non-Gov	P-Value
Government Ties	-0.010	-0.277^{***}	0.054	0.003	-0.195^{**}	0.116
	(-0.09)	(-0.01)		(0.03)	(-2.00)	
Large Investor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.235^{**} \\ (2.40) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.106 \\ (1.41) \end{array}$	0.294	$\begin{array}{c} 0.235^{**} \\ (2.51) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.154^{**} \\ (2.23) \end{array}$	0.477
High Registered Capital	$0.146 \\ (1.47)$	0.163^{**} (2.15)	0.893	$0.113 \\ (1.20)$	0.180^{***} (2.61)	0.562
Industry Information	-0.303^{***} (-3.11)	-0.291^{***} (-3.93)	0.917	-0.193** (-2.04)	-0.200*** (-2.90)	0.941
Young LP	-0.054 (-0.55)	-0.066 (-0.88)	0.920	-0.070 (-0.75)	-0.039 (-0.57)	0.787
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$\begin{array}{c} 0.050 \\ (0.29) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.082 \\ (0.65) \end{array}$	0.880	-0.100 (-0.58)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.012 \\ (0.10) \end{array}$	0.584
Headquarter In Beijing	$\begin{array}{c} 0.219 \\ (1.52) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.083 \\ (0.74) \end{array}$	0.454	$0.147 \\ (1.04)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.122\\ (1.13) \end{array}$	0.882
Corporate Governance	$\begin{array}{c} 0.080\\ (0.82) \end{array}$	-0.066 (-0.89)	0.233	$\begin{array}{c} 0.157^{*} \\ (1.69) \end{array}$	-0.024 (-0.35)	0.108
Investment Philosophy	$\begin{array}{c} 0.030 \\ (0.29) \end{array}$	-0.010 (-0.13)	0.757	$\begin{array}{c} 0.060 \\ (0.63) \end{array}$	-0.002 (-0.02)	0.594
Stage Focus	$0.000 \\ (0.00)$	-0.139^{*} (-1.86)	0.260	-0.048 (-0.51)	-0.177^{**} (-2.57)	0.258
Observations	1830	3379		1830	3379	
Unique GPs	93	172		93	172	
GP FEs	No	No		Yes	Yes	
Model	OLS	OLS	SUR	OLS	OLS	SUR
Control for Central Gov-LP	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
DV Mean	6.452	6.445		6.452	6.445	
DV SD	2.038	2.006		2.038	2.006	

TABLE A28. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity by Government-Owned GPs, Controlling for Having Central Government Investors

Notes: This table compares government GP and nongovernment GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics, controlling for whether the respondent has had a central government investor over the past 3 years. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \rho \times HadGov-LP_j + \epsilon_{ij}$. We run separate regressions for government GPs and nongovernment GPs. Gov-GPs are defined as GPs with government owners. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. The dummy HadGov-LP indicates whether the GP has received funding from government-owned LPs. Partner Rating and is on a scale of 1-10. Columns 1 and 2 show the basic models for government GPs and nongovernment GPs respectively. Column 3 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 1 and 2 using SUR model. Columns 4 and 5 show regressions with GP respondents fixed effects. Column 6 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 using SUR model.t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	(1)	(2)	(1)=(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)=(4)
	Gov	Non-Gov	P-Value	Gov	Non-Gov	P-Value
Government Ties	-0.008 (-0.08)	-0.278^{***} (-3.33)	0.051	$0.003 \\ (0.03)$	-0.195^{**} (-2.56)	0.116
Large Investor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.235^{**} \\ (2.39) \end{array}$	$0.106 \\ (1.41)$	0.296	$\begin{array}{c} 0.235^{**} \\ (2.51) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.154^{**} \\ (2.23) \end{array}$	0.477
High Registered Capital	$0.146 \\ (1.47)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.164^{**} \\ (2.16) \end{array}$	0.888	$\begin{array}{c} 0.113 \\ (1.20) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.180^{***} \\ (2.61) \end{array}$	0.562
Industry Information	-0.304^{***} (-3.11)	-0.291^{***} (-3.94)	0.915	-0.193^{**} (-2.04)	-0.200*** (-2.90)	0.941
Young LP	-0.055 (-0.56)	-0.068 (-0.90)	0.917	-0.070 (-0.75)	-0.039 (-0.57)	0.787
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$0.046 \\ (0.27)$	$0.084 \\ (0.67)$	0.859	-0.100 (-0.58)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.012 \\ (0.10) \end{array}$	0.584
Headquarter In Beijing	$\begin{array}{c} 0.215 \\ (1.49) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.083 \ (0.73) \end{array}$	0.468	$0.147 \\ (1.04)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.122 \\ (1.13) \end{array}$	0.882
Corporate Governance	$\begin{array}{c} 0.082 \\ (0.84) \end{array}$	-0.067 (-0.90)	0.223	$\begin{array}{c} 0.157^{*} \\ (1.69) \end{array}$	-0.024 (-0.35)	0.108
Investment Philosophy	$\begin{array}{c} 0.029 \\ (0.29) \end{array}$	-0.012 (-0.15)	0.745	$0.060 \\ (0.63)$	-0.002 (-0.02)	0.594
Stage Focus	$0.000 \\ (0.00)$	-0.139^{*} (-1.87)	0.257	-0.048 (-0.51)	-0.177^{**} (-2.57)	0.258
Observations	1830	3379		1830	3379	
Unique GPs	93	172		93	172	
GP FEs	No	No		Yes	Yes	
Model	OLS	OLS	SUR	OLS	OLS	SUR
Control for Provincial Gov-LP	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
DV Mean	6.452	6.445		6.452	6.445	
DV SD	2.038	2.006		2.038	2.006	

TABLE A29. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity by Government-Owned GPs, Controlling for Having Provincial Government Investors

Notes: This table compares government GP and nongovernment GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics, controlling for whether the respondent has had a provincial government investor over the past 3 years. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \rho \times HadGov-LP_j + \epsilon_{ij}$. We run separate regressions for government GPs and nongovernment GPs are defined as GPs with government owners. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. The dummy HadGov-LP indicates whether the GP has received funding from government-owned LPs. Partner Rating and is on a scale of 1-10. Columns 1 and 2 show the basic models for government GPs and nongovernment GPs respectively. Column 3 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 1 and 2 using SUR model. Columns 4 and 5 show regressions with GP respondents fixed effects. Column 6 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 using SUR model.t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	(1)	(2)	(1) = (2)	(3)	(4)	(3) = (4)
	Gov	Non-Gov	P-Value	Gov	Non-Gov	P-Value
Government Ties	-0.010 (-0.09)	-0.276^{***} (-3.30)	0.053	$0.003 \\ (0.03)$	-0.195^{**} (-2.56)	0.116
Large Investor	0.235^{**} (2.40)	$0.106 \\ (1.42)$	0.297	$\begin{array}{c} 0.235^{**} \\ (2.51) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.154^{**} \\ (2.23) \end{array}$	0.477
High Registered Capital	$0.148 \\ (1.49)$	0.163^{**} (2.15)	0.902	$\begin{array}{c} 0.113 \\ (1.20) \end{array}$	0.180^{***} (2.61)	0.562
Industry Information	-0.306^{***} (-3.13)	-0.290^{***} (-3.93)	0.900	-0.193^{**} (-2.04)	-0.200*** (-2.90)	0.941
Young LP	-0.051 (-0.53)	-0.066 (-0.88)	0.905	-0.070 (-0.75)	-0.039 (-0.57)	0.787
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$\begin{array}{c} 0.051 \\ (0.30) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.083 \\ (0.66) \end{array}$	0.880	-0.100 (-0.58)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.012 \\ (0.10) \end{array}$	0.584
Headquarter In Beijing	$\begin{array}{c} 0.222\\ (1.54) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.083 \ (0.74) \end{array}$	0.447	$0.147 \\ (1.04)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.122\\ (1.13) \end{array}$	0.882
Corporate Governance	$\begin{array}{c} 0.081 \\ (0.83) \end{array}$	-0.066 (-0.89)	0.228	$\begin{array}{c} 0.157^{*} \\ (1.69) \end{array}$	-0.024 (-0.35)	0.108
Investment Philosophy	$0.029 \\ (0.29)$	-0.009 (-0.12)	0.766	$0.060 \\ (0.63)$	-0.002 (-0.02)	0.594
Stage Focus	-0.001 (-0.01)	-0.139^{*} (-1.86)	0.264	-0.048 (-0.51)	-0.177^{**} (-2.57)	0.258
Observations	1830	3379		1830	3379	
Unique GPs	93	172		93	172	
GP FEs	No	No		Yes	Yes	
Model	OLS	OLS	SUR	OLS	OLS	SUR
Control for Local Gov-LP	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
DV Mean	6.452	6.445		6.452	6.445	
DV SD	2.038	2.006		2.038	2.006	

TABLE A30. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity by Government-Owned GPs, Controlling for Having Local Government Investors

Notes: This table compares government GP and nongovernment GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics, controlling for whether the respondent has had a local government investor over the past 3 years. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \rho \times HadGov-LP_j + \epsilon_{ij}$. We run separate regressions for government GPs and nongovernment GPs. Gov-GPs are defined as GPs with government owners. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. The dummy HadGov-LP indicates whether the GP has received funding from government-owned LPs. Partner Rating and is on a scale of 1-10. Columns 1 and 2 show the basic models for government GPs and nongovernment GPs respectively. Column 3 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 1 and 2 using SUR model. Columns 4 and 5 show regressions with GP respondents fixed effects. Column 6 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 using SUR model.t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	(1) W/C_Gov	(2) W/out C_Gov	(1)=(2) P-Value	(3) W/C_Gov	(4) W/out C_Gov	(3)=(4) P-Value
Government Ties	-0.348*** (-2.69)	-0.122 (-1.57)	0.132	-0.239** (-1.99)	-0.088 (-1.24)	0.267
Large Investor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.164 \\ (1.40) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.147^{**} \\ (2.12) \end{array}$	0.905	$\begin{array}{c} 0.172 \\ (1.57) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.191^{***} \\ (2.95) \end{array}$	0.882
High Registered Capital	0.246^{**} (2.12)	0.125^{*} (1.77)	0.372	$\begin{array}{c} 0.194^{*} \\ (1.78) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.142^{**} \\ (2.19) \end{array}$	0.670
Industry Information	-0.189^{*} (-1.66)	-0.333*** (-4.83)	0.276	-0.112 (-1.05)	-0.229*** (-3.52)	0.339
Young LP	-0.034 (-0.30)	-0.066 (-0.95)	0.811	$\begin{array}{c} 0.064 \\ (0.59) \end{array}$	-0.088 (-1.35)	0.216
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$\begin{array}{c} 0.117 \\ (0.57) \end{array}$	$0.055 \\ (0.47)$	0.791	-0.005 (-0.03)	-0.043 (-0.38)	0.867
Headquarter In Beijing	0.324^{**} (2.00)	$0.050 \\ (0.48)$	0.155	0.338^{**} (2.13)	$0.043 \\ (0.43)$	0.107
Corporate Governance	-0.115 (-1.01)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.027 \\ (0.39) \end{array}$	0.284	$\begin{array}{c} 0.014 \\ (0.13) \end{array}$	$0.049 \\ (0.76)$	0.777
Investment Philosophy	-0.097 (-0.84)	$0.044 \\ (0.61)$	0.300	-0.013 (-0.12)	$0.032 \\ (0.48)$	0.718
Stage Focus	-0.154 (-1.35)	-0.066 (-0.95)	0.511	-0.095 (-0.88)	-0.146** (-2.27)	0.682
Observations	1403	3806		1403	3806	
Unique GPs	71	194		71	194	
GP FEs	No	No	CLUD	Yes	Yes	CUID
Model DV Maar	OLS 6 224	OLS 6 215	SUR	OLS 6 224	OLS C 215	SUR
DV Mean DV SD	0.334 2.140	0.310 2.142		0.334 2.140	0.310 9.149	
	2.140	2.142		2.140	2.142	

TABLE A31. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity by Central Gov-LP-linked GPs

Notes: This table shows GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics, distinguishing between GPs that have had a central government investor over the past 3 years and those that have not. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. We run separate regressions for GPs that have had a central government investor over the past 3 years and those that have not. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. The dummy HadGov-LP indicates whether the GP has received funding from government-owned LPs. Partner Rating and is on a scale of 1-10. Columns 1 and 2 show the basic models for government GPs and nongovernment GPs respectively. Column 3 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 using SUR model.t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	(1)	(2)	(1)=(2)	(3)	(4)	(3) = (4)
	W/P_Gov	W/out P_Gov	P-Value	W/P_Gov	W/out P_Gov	P-Value
Government Ties	-0.103 (-0.67)	-0.206*** (-2.81)	0.545	-0.169 (-1.24)	-0.123^{*} (-1.79)	0.751
Large Investor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.322^{**} \\ (2.31) \end{array}$	0.112^{*} (1.69)	0.171	$\begin{array}{c} 0.352^{***} \\ (2.81) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.148^{**} \\ (2.39) \end{array}$	0.132
High Registered Capital	0.287^{**} (2.07)	0.127^{*} (1.89)	0.297	0.303^{**} (2.45)	0.121^{*} (1.94)	0.176
Industry Information	-0.360*** (-2.64)	-0.283*** (-4.33)	0.609	-0.378^{***} (-3.06)	-0.159^{**} (-2.55)	0.102
Young LP	-0.154 (-1.10)	-0.033 (-0.50)	0.431	-0.131 (-1.04)	-0.021 (-0.34)	0.420
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$\begin{array}{c} 0.303 \ (1.28) \end{array}$	$0.019 \\ (0.17)$	0.277	$\begin{array}{c} 0.053 \\ (0.23) \end{array}$	-0.047 (-0.43)	0.688
Headquarter In Beijing	0.460^{**} (2.19)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.052 \\ (0.53) \end{array}$	0.076	$\begin{array}{c} 0.383^{*} \ (1.91) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.070 \\ (0.74) \end{array}$	0.147
Corporate Governance	-0.156 (-1.14)	$0.018 \\ (0.28)$	0.247	-0.133 (-1.09)	0.074 (1.21)	0.119
Investment Philosophy	-0.094 (-0.68)	$0.028 \\ (0.42)$	0.427	-0.047 (-0.37)	$0.038 \\ (0.60)$	0.536
Stage Focus	-0.129 (-0.94)	-0.083 (-1.26)	0.760	-0.208^{*} (-1.68)	-0.115^{*} (-1.85)	0.489
Observations	977	4232		977	4232	
Unique GPs	50	215		50	215	
GP FEs	No	No		Yes	Yes	
Model	OLS	OLS	SUR	OLS	OLS	SUR
DV Mean	6.254	6.336		6.254	6.336	
DV SD	2.150	2.139		2.150	2.139	

TABLE A32. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity by Provincial Gov-LP-linked GPs

Notes: This table shows GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics, distinguishing between GPs that have had a provincial government investor over the past 3 years and those that have not. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. We run separate regressions for GPs that have had a provincial government investor over the past 3 years and those that have not. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. The dummy HadGov-LP indicates whether the GP has received funding from government-owned LPs. Partner Rating and is on a scale of 1-10. Columns 1 and 2 show the basic models for government GPs and nongovernment GPs respectively. Column 3 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 using SUR model.t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	(1) W/L_Gov	(2) W/out L_Gov	(1)=(2) P-Value	(3) W/L_Gov	(4) W/out L_Gov	$\begin{array}{c} (3) = (4) \\ P-Value \end{array}$
Government Ties	-0.138 (-1.49)	-0.220** (-2.29)	0.537	-0.093 (-1.08)	-0.153* (-1.75)	0.618
Large Investor	0.170^{**} (2.07)	$0.124 \\ (1.43)$	0.701	$\begin{array}{c} 0.224^{***} \\ (2.92) \end{array}$	0.134^{*} (1.66)	0.403
High Registered Capital	$\begin{array}{c} 0.182^{**} \\ (2.17) \end{array}$	0.146^{*} (1.67)	0.768	0.221^{***} (2.85)	$0.105 \\ (1.30)$	0.285
Industry Information	-0.230*** (-2.81)	-0.379^{***} (-4.44)	0.206	-0.145^{*} (-1.87)	-0.264*** (-3.29)	0.273
Young LP	-0.067 (-0.81)	-0.048 (-0.56)	0.878	-0.046 (-0.59)	-0.036 (-0.46)	0.932
Headquarter In Foreign Country	-0.047 (-0.34)	$0.205 \\ (1.37)$	0.212	-0.111 (-0.79)	$0.056 \\ (0.40)$	0.389
Headquarter In Beijing	-0.063 (-0.51)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.344^{***} \\ (2.72) \end{array}$	0.021	-0.113 (-0.95)	0.391^{***} (3.18)	0.002
Corporate Governance	$\begin{array}{c} 0.016 \\ (0.19) \end{array}$	-0.050 (-0.58)	0.578	$\begin{array}{c} 0.034 \\ (0.44) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.032 \\ (0.41) \end{array}$	0.989
Investment Philosophy	$\begin{array}{c} 0.084 \\ (0.98) \end{array}$	-0.079 (-0.90)	0.183	$\begin{array}{c} 0.018 \\ (0.23) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.024 \\ (0.30) \end{array}$	0.957
Stage Focus	-0.092 (-1.11)	-0.083 (-0.97)	0.941	-0.103 (-1.34)	-0.162** (-2.02)	0.587
Observations	2665	2544		2665	2544	
Unique GPs	136	129		136	129	
GP FEs	No	No	CLID	Yes	Yes	CLID
Model	OLS	OLS	SUR	OLS	OLS	SUR
DV Mean	0.333	0.307		0.333	0.307	
	2.11(2.107		2.11(2.107	

TABLE A33. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity by Local Gov-LP-linked GPs

Notes: This table shows GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics, distinguishing between GPs that have had a local government investor over the past 3 years and those that have not. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. We run separate regressions for GPs that have had a local government investor over the past 3 years and those that have not. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. *GovernmentTies* is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. The dummy *HadGov-LP* indicates whether the GP has received funding from government-owned LPs. Partner Rating and is on a scale of 1-10. Columns 1 and 2 show the basic models for government GPs and nongovernment GPs respectively. Column 3 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 using SUR model. t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	Gov Owned	NonGov Owned	Total
Gov Tied NonGov Tied	$\begin{array}{c} 107 \\ 109 \end{array}$	139 333	$\begin{array}{c} 246 \\ 442 \end{array}$
Total	216	472	688

TABLE A34. Government Experience of Individual Respondents

Notes: This table presents the relationship of government ties and government ownership for GP respondents. We have 688 GP respondents. Gov-GPs are defined as GPs that have at least one ultimate government owner, as described in the paper. Government Tied GPs are defined as GPs that its identified individual respondent worked for either a government bureau, an SOE, or a government-owned VCPE entity before their current (i.e., at the time of the survey) job.

(1) GovTied	(2) Non-GovTied	(1)=(2) P-Value	(3) GovTied	(4) Non-GovTied	(3)=(4) P-Value
-0.130**	-0.106**	0.771	-0.076	-0.080*	0.961
(-1.99)	(-2.19)		(-1.22)	(-1.77)	
$\begin{array}{c} 0.188^{***} \\ (3.19) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.125^{***} \\ (2.87) \end{array}$	0.390	$\begin{array}{c} 0.202^{***} \\ (3.60) \end{array}$	0.147^{***} (3.56)	0.420
$\begin{array}{c} 0.204^{***} \\ (3.44) \end{array}$	0.190^{***} (4.30)	0.848	$\begin{array}{c} 0.201^{***} \\ (3.52) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.176^{***} \\ (4.23) \end{array}$	0.718
-0.244*** (-4.18)	-0.223*** (-5.18)	0.773	-0.207^{***} (-3.68)	-0.162^{***} (-3.96)	0.508
-0.013 (-0.22)	$0.001 \\ (0.03)$	0.842	$\begin{array}{c} 0.011 \\ (0.20) \end{array}$	-0.021 (-0.51)	0.634
-0.035 (-0.34)	$0.068 \\ (0.88)$	0.421	-0.073 (-0.71)	$0.005 \\ (0.06)$	0.535
0.208^{**} (2.43)	0.208^{***} (3.21)	0.996	$\begin{array}{c} 0.186^{**} \\ (2.21) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.169^{***} \\ (2.72) \end{array}$	0.873
$\begin{array}{c} 0.038 \ (0.65) \end{array}$	-0.001 (-0.02)	0.590	$\begin{array}{c} 0.059 \\ (1.05) \end{array}$	$0.054 \\ (1.31)$	0.947
-0.020 (-0.33)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.034 \\ (0.76) \end{array}$	0.469	-0.002 (-0.04)	$0.063 \\ (1.47)$	0.352
-0.132** (-2.23)	-0.061 (-1.41)	0.339	-0.131** (-2.32)	-0.062 (-1.50)	0.315
4735	8640		4735	8640	
242 N	437 N		242 V	437 V	
	NO	CUD	Yes	Yes	CUD
OL5 6 454	0L5 6 444	SUK	OL5 6 454	0L5 6 444	SUK
2.016	2.016		2.016	2.016	
	(1) GovTied -0.130^{**} (-1.99) 0.188^{***} (3.19) 0.204^{***} (-4.18) -0.244^{***} (-4.18) -0.013 (-0.22) -0.035 (-0.34) 0.208^{**} (2.43) 0.208^{**} (2.43) 0.038 (0.65) -0.020 (-0.33) -0.132^{**} (-2.23) 4735 242 No OLS 6.454 2.016	$\begin{array}{ccccc} (1) & (2) \\ \hline \text{GovTied} & \text{Non-GovTied} \\ \hline & \text{-0.130}^{**} & -0.106^{**} \\ (-1.99) & (-2.19) \\ \hline & 0.188^{***} & 0.125^{***} \\ (3.19) & (2.87) \\ \hline & 0.204^{***} & 0.190^{***} \\ (3.44) & (4.30) \\ \hline & -0.244^{***} & -0.223^{***} \\ (-4.18) & (-5.18) \\ \hline & -0.013 & 0.001 \\ (-0.22) & (0.03) \\ \hline & -0.035 & 0.068 \\ (-0.34) & (0.88) \\ \hline & 0.208^{**} & 0.208^{***} \\ (2.43) & (3.21) \\ \hline & 0.038 & -0.001 \\ (0.65) & (-0.02) \\ \hline & -0.020 & 0.034 \\ (-0.33) & (0.76) \\ \hline & -0.132^{**} & -0.061 \\ (-2.23) & (-1.41) \\ \hline & 4735 & 8640 \\ 242 & 437 \\ \hline & \text{No} & \text{No} \\ \hline & \text{OLS} & \text{OLS} \\ \hline & 6.454 & 6.444 \\ 2.016 & 2.016 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (1) & (2) & (1)=(2) \\ \mbox{GovTied} & \mbox{Non-GovTied} & \mbox{P-Value} \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

TABLE A35. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity by Individual Respondents with Government Experience

Notes: This table compares the GP preferences for LP characteristics, distinguishing between GP individual respondents that have prior government experience and those that have not. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. Partner Rating is on a scale of 1-10. Column 1 and 2 show the basic models for respondents with and without gov-ties respectively. Column 3 shows the difference in coefficients columns 1 and 2 using SUR model. Columns 4 and 5 show regressions with GP respondents fixed effects. Column 6 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 using SUR model. t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	(1) Gov	(2) Non-Gov	(1)=(2) P-Value	(3) Gov	(4) Non-Gov	$\begin{array}{c} (3) = (4) \\ P-Value \end{array}$
Government Ties	0.014 (0.20)	-0.171^{***} (-3.64)	0.028	$0.008 \\ (0.13)$	-0.119^{***} (-2.70)	0.104
Large Investor	0.186^{***} (2.96)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.131^{***} \\ (3.12) \end{array}$	0.467	$\begin{array}{c} 0.186^{***} \\ (3.08) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.157^{***} \\ (3.94) \end{array}$	0.682
High Registered Capital	0.210^{***} (3.27)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.187^{***} \\ (4.41) \end{array}$	0.774	$\begin{array}{c} 0.163^{***} \\ (2.66) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.194^{***} \\ (4.85) \end{array}$	0.664
Industry Information	-0.257^{***} (-4.13)	-0.223^{***} (-5.35)	0.648	-0.172^{***} (-2.84)	-0.181^{***} (-4.59)	0.893
Young LP	$0.008 \\ (0.13)$	-0.012 (-0.29)	0.786	-0.007 (-0.11)	-0.013 (-0.33)	0.931
Headquarter In Foreign Country	0.027 (0.24)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.040 \\ (0.53) \end{array}$	0.922	-0.091 (-0.81)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.011 \\ (0.15) \end{array}$	0.431
Headquarter In Beijing	$\begin{array}{c} 0.279^{***} \\ (2.96) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.177^{***} \\ (2.87) \end{array}$	0.365	0.226^{**} (2.46)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.151^{**} \\ (2.54) \end{array}$	0.486
Corporate Governance	$0.048 \\ (0.77)$	-0.002 (-0.04)	0.508	0.123^{**} (2.05)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.024 \\ (0.62) \end{array}$	0.160
Investment Philosophy	$\begin{array}{c} 0.011 \\ (0.17) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.019 \\ (0.44) \end{array}$	0.913	$\begin{array}{c} 0.050 \\ (0.80) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.036 \\ (0.88) \end{array}$	0.852
Stage Focus	-0.081 (-1.29)	-0.084** (-1.99)	0.973	-0.115^{*} (-1.90)	-0.071^{*} (-1.78)	0.531
Observations	4221	9154		4221	9154	
Unique GPs	214	465		214	465	
GP FEs	No	No		Yes	Yes	
Model	OLS	OLS	SUR	OLS	OLS	SUR
Control for Gov Tie	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
DV Mean	6.452	6.445		6.452	6.445	
DV SD	2.038	2.006		2.038	2.006	

TABLE A36. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity by Government-Owned GPs, Controlling for Individual Respondents having Government Experience

Notes: This table compares government GP and nongovernment GP preferences for LP characteristics after controlling for whether the individual respondent has prior government experience (as discussed in this paper). The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. We run separate regressions for government GPs and nongovernment GPs. Gov-GPs are defined as GPs with government owners. *GovernmentTies* is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. Partner Rating is on a scale of 1-10. Column 1 and 2 show the basic models for government GPs and nongovernment GPs, respectively. Column 3 shows the difference in coefficients columns 1 and 2 using SUR model. Columns 4 and 5 show regressions with GP respondent fixed effects. Column 6 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 using SUR model. t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	New Survey Respondents (N= 361)	All Respondents (N=688)	P-value
Share Government-Owned (%)	32.20	32.05	0.960
AUM (\$ millions)	1252.61	1001.76	0.065^{*}
IRR ($\%$ median)	37.43	32.34	0.342
Funds	3.54	3.32	0.457
Investments	62.06	48.40	0.027^{**}
Exits	11.05	9.36	0.159

TABLE A37. 2021 Qualitative Survey: Selection of Respondents

Notes: This table summarizes the selection of GP respondents in 2021 qualitative survey, using Zero2IPO administrative data for the period 2015–19. We have 361 survey respondents, out of 688 2019 survey respondents. The column of *P*-value reports the p-values of the t-tests for each variable. Share Government-Owned (%) is the share of entities that are government-owned, AUM (\$ millions) is the assets under management (in Million USD), IRR (% median) is the median internal rate of return, *Funds* is the number of funds managed by the GP, *Investments* is the number of investments made by the GP, *Exits* is the number of exit events for the GP investments. AUM (\$ millions) and IRR (% median) are winsorized at the top 95%. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

Mechanism	Research Assistant 1	Research Assistant 2
Investment Interference	74.80%	73.23%
No Risk Tolerance	1.57%	1.57%
Short Investment Horizon	2.36%	3.94%
Lack of Professional Team	7.88%	6.30%
Exposure to Policy Uncertainty	2.36%	2.36%
Other	11.03%	12.60%
Total	100%	100%

TABLE A38. Text Analysis of Open-Ended Questions Regarding Government Investors

Notes: This table reports the analysis of the text contained in the responses by GPs to an open-ended question Zero2IPO asked in a 2019 survey regarding potential suggestions to make government LPs more attractive. We ask two research assistants to independently assign each response to one of the Cons we listed in our 2021 survey or to a Other category. The table reports the percentage of answers belonging to each group, focusing on 127 valid responses.

Mechanisms	All	Gov GP	Non-gov GP
Adv 1. Regulatory Approvals and Tax Reductions	0.17	0.20	0.16
Adv 2. Reduce Fundraising Pressure	0.07	0.75	-0.08
Adv 3. Access to Information	0.28	0.66	0.19
Adv 4. Obtain Local Government Support	-0.17	0.00	-0.23
Adv 5. Attract Potential Investors	0.14	0.78	0.00
Disadv 1. Investment Interference	-0.20	0.06	-0.24
Disadv 2. No Risk Tolerance	0.14	0.37	0.00
Disadv 3. Short Investment Horizon	-0.17	-0.20	-0.13
Disadv 4. Lack of Professional Team	0.04	0.71	-0.18
Disadv 5. Exposure to Policy Uncertainty	0.00	0.15	-0.13

TABLE A39. Experimental Dislike for Government LPs and Stated Mechanisms

Notes: This table reports the median of the coefficients on *GovernmentTies* obtained in our experimental surveys of GPs, grouping GPs based on the stated primary Advantages and Disadvantages of government investors (as reported in the 2021 qualitative surveys). A coefficient on *GovernmentTies* for each GP is obtained by running the baseline specification once for each of the 361 GPs who also responded to our 2021 surveys. Column 2 reports the median coefficients for all respondent GPs; Column 3 reports the median coefficients for government GPs; Column 4 reports the median coefficients for nongovernment GPs.

		Active]	Responder	nt
	All	Gov	NonGov	All	Gov	NonGov
Panel A: LPs						
Share Government-Owned (%)	35.10	100.00	0.00	66.12	100.00	0.00
Capital Invested (\$ millions)	50.36	123.64	22.05	399.59	516.44	215.25
Funds Invested	1.98	2.77	1.55	9.24	10.76	5.14
Panel B: GPs						
Share Government-Owned (%)	22.92	100.00	0.00	16.47	100.00	0.00
AUM (\$ millions)	741.30	1014.01	645.04	1001.76	1729.76	844.13
IRR (% median)	27.64	19.52	30.55	32.34	24.27	36.49
Funds	2.54	2.86	2.42	3.32	4.28	3.09
Investments	13.42	10.41	14.27	48.40	36.14	50.81
Exits	5.91	5.47	6.04	9.36	10.07	9.21

TABLE A40. Summary Statistics (Government-owned if Ownership $\geq 20\%$)

Notes: This table reports summary statistics for both LPs and GPs where government-owned entities are defined as entities with a government ownership greater than 20%, using Zero2IPO administrative data for the period 2015–19. We have 7,974 active LPs of which 312 LPs are respondents, and 6,308 active GPs of which 688 GPs are respondents. We exclude foreign entities from this analysis. The Panel A includes variables for LPs. The Panel B includes variables for GPs. *Share Government-Owned* (%) is the share of entities that are government-owned, *Capital Invested* is the amount of capital the LP invested in funds (in Million USD), *Funds Invested* is the number of funds the LP invested in, *AUM* (\$ millions) are the assets under management (in Million USD), *IRR* (% median) is the median internal rate of return, *Funds* is the number of funds managed by the GP, *Investments* is the number of investments made by the GP, *Exits* is the number of exit events for the GP investments. *Capital Invested* (\$ millions), *AUM* (\$ millions) and *IRR* (% median) are winsorized at the top 95%.

	(1) CR	(2) CR	(3) CR	(4) CR	(5) IRR	(6) IRR	(7) IRR	(8) IRR
Gov GPs	-0.009***	-0.004	-0.009^{**}	-0.003	-15.411^{***}	-12.387***	-19.366^{***}	-15.794***
	(-2.99)	(-1.46)	(-2.12)	(-0.76)	(-3.37)	(-2.66)	(-3.85)	(-3.03)
AUM			0.000^{*} (1.83)	-0.000 (-0.22)			-0.001 (-1.06)	-0.002 (-1.62)
Observations	1104	1104	683	683	984	984	631	631
HQ FEs	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes

TABLE A41. Government-Owned GPs Perform Worse (Government-owned if Ownership $\geq 20\%$)

Notes: This table illustrates the association between GPs' government ownership status and GP performance where government-owned entities are defined as entities with a government ownership greater than 20%. The specification is $y_j = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovGPs_j + \gamma \times AUM_j + \epsilon_{ij}$. The sample includes all active GPs with non-missing data for CR (columns 1-4) and IRR (columns 5-8). GovGPs is a dummy indicating whether a GP is government owned. CR is comprehensive return, which is standardized to 0-1. IRR is winsorized at the 95% percentile. AUM is the total asset under management in USD millions, and is winsorized at the 95% percentile. Columns 1 and 5 show the basic models. Columns 2 and 6 show the results with headquarters FEs. Columns 3 and 7 show the results with AUM as controls. Columns 4 and 8 show the results with both headquarters FEs and AUM controls. t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

	Gov LP	Non-Gov LP	ColRatio
Gov GP	2.128	0.575	3.699
	$(\ 20.25\ \%)$	(10.20%)	(0.000)
Non-Gov GP	0.897	0.988	0.908
	(22.80%)	$(\ 46.75\ \%)$	(0.000)
RowRatio	2.372	0.583	
	(0.000)	(0.000)	
Assortative Index		1.178	
Homogeneity Test(p-value)		0.000	

TABLE A42. Assortative Matching Between Government-Owned GPs and LPs (Government-owned if Ownership $\geq 20\%$)

Notes: This table presents the distribution of links between different GPs and LPs grouped by government ownership where government-owned entities are defined as entities with a government ownership greater than 20%, illustrating assortative matching patterns. The likelihood ratio index is calculated as $s(p^{GP}, p^{LP}) = \frac{Pr(G^{GP}=p^{GP}, G^{LP}=p^{LP})}{Pr(G^{GP}=p^{GP})Pr(G^{LP}=p^{LP})}$. We define $Pr(G^{GP}=p)$ as the ratio of type p GP among all GPs with at least one link, e.g., if p is government owned, then the probability is the ratio of government owned GPs among GPs with at least one link. $Pr(G^{GP}=G^{LP}=p)$ is defined as the ratio of links where GP and LP both belong to group p among all links in the sample. The number in the parentheses is the fraction of links among all links formed between GP and LP with ownership information. Assortative index is calculated as the weighted average of the diagonal elements. ColRatio is calculated as column 1 divided by column 2 in the same row. RowRatio is calculated as row 1 divided by row 2 in the same column. The numbers in the parentheses under the ColRatios and RowRatios are the p-values of the binomial test within the corresponding rows and columns respectively, under the null hypothesis of random matching. The p-value of the homogeneity test is a Chi-square test.

	(1) Gov	(2) Non-Gov	(1)=(2) P-Value	(3) Gov	(4) Non-Gov	$\begin{array}{c} (3)=(4)\\ P-Value \end{array}$
Government Ties	0.031 (0.31)	-0.140^{***} (-3.29)	0.113	0.039 (0.40)	-0.100^{**} (-2.51)	0.171
Large Investor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.227^{***} \\ (2.59) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.131^{***} \\ (3.43) \end{array}$	0.314	0.188^{**} (2.21)	0.162^{***} (4.48)	0.778
High Registered Capital	$\begin{array}{c} 0.173^{*} \\ (1.96) \end{array}$	0.199^{***} (5.14)	0.790	0.149^{*} (1.73)	0.190^{***} (5.23)	0.653
Industry Information	-0.344^{***} (-3.97)	-0.211^{***} (-5.58)	0.157	-0.271^{***} (-3.17)	-0.162^{***} (-4.52)	0.231
Young LP	-0.049 (-0.56)	$0.006 \\ (0.15)$	0.563	-0.082 (-0.97)	$0.006 \\ (0.16)$	0.328
Headquarter In Foreign Country	$\begin{array}{c} 0.313^{**} \\ (2.16) \end{array}$	-0.020 (-0.29)	0.037	0.259^{*} (1.76)	-0.079 (-1.16)	0.032
Headquarter In Beijing	$\begin{array}{c} 0.368^{***} \\ (2.90) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.178^{***} \\ (3.16) \end{array}$	0.171	$\begin{array}{c} 0.344^{***} \\ (2.76) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.141^{***} \\ (2.59) \end{array}$	0.124
Corporate Governance	$\begin{array}{c} 0.030 \\ (0.34) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.009 \\ (0.24) \end{array}$	0.829	$0.093 \\ (1.10)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.048 \\ (1.34) \end{array}$	0.615
Investment Philosophy	$\begin{array}{c} 0.127 \\ (1.43) \end{array}$	-0.007 (-0.18)	0.167	$\begin{array}{c} 0.134 \\ (1.54) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.021 \\ (0.57) \end{array}$	0.221
Stage Focus	-0.052 (-0.60)	-0.090** (-2.36)	0.690	-0.111 (-1.28)	-0.080** (-2.22)	0.730
Observations	2206	11169		2206	11169	
Unique GPs	111	568		111	568	
GP FEs	No	No	_	Yes	Yes	
Model	OLS	OLS	SUR	OLS	OLS	SUR
DV Mean	6.434	6.450		6.434	6.450	
DV SD	2.050	2.009		2.050	2.009	

TABLE A43. GP Preferences for LPs: Heterogeneity by Government-Owned GPs (Government-owned if Ownership $\geq 20\%$)

Notes: This table compares government GP and nongovernment GP preferences for LP synthetic characteristics, where government-owned entities are defined as entities with a government ownership share of greater than 20%. The specification is $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta \times GovernmentTies_j + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_m \times Characteristic_{jm} + \epsilon_{ij}$. We run separate regressions for government GPs and nongovernment GPs. The sample includes all GP respondents participating in the experiments who gave at least one valid answer to each question. GovernmentTies is a dummy indicating whether the LP profile displays a link to the government. Details of the remaining characteristics are illustrated in Table A7. Partner Rating is on a scale of 1-10. Columns 1 and 2 show the basic models for government GPs and nongovernment GPs respectively. Column 3 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 show regressions with GP respondents fixed effects. Column 6 shows the difference in coefficients in columns 4 and 5 using SUR model.t statistics are presented in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

Appendix A.2. A simple model of GP-LP matching

Setup. We model the formation of GP-LP partnerships as a two-sided search and matching process in continuous time. There are discrete I types of GPs and J types of LPs each searching for one potential partner.³⁶ If GP of type i and LP of type j form a partnership, then the GP obtains value $x_{ij} + \epsilon$ and the LP obtains value $y_{ij} + \delta$, where x_{ij} and y_{ij} are type-specific values from the partnership, and ϵ , δ are match-specific idiosyncratic values drawn independently from the standard Gumbel distribution. Meetings arise randomly following a Poisson process. A meeting involves a type-i GP and type-j LP with probability m_{ij} . $\{\sum_j m_{ij}\}_i$ is the marginal distribution of GP types and $\{\sum_i m_{ij}\}_j$ the marginal distribution of LP types. Both parties decide whether to form a partnership—the LP decides whether to invest in the GP and the GP decides whether to accept the investment. If either prefers not to match, both parties go back to search. Let u_i and v_j denote the value functions of unmatched GPs and LPs, respectively, characterized by:

(A1)
$$ru_{i} = \rho^{G} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{m_{ij}q_{ij}}{\sum_{j} m_{ij}} \mathbb{E} \left[\max \left(\epsilon_{0}, x_{ij} - u_{i} + \epsilon \right) \right], \quad rv_{j} = \rho^{L} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \frac{m_{ij}p_{ij}}{\sum_{i} m_{ij}} \mathbb{E} \left[\max \left(\delta_{0}, y_{ij} - v_{j} + \delta \right) \right]$$

where ρ^G and ρ^L are the Poisson rates at which a GP and an LP meet a potential partner, respectively.³⁷ To interpret the HJB equations, consider the flow value ru_i of an unmatched type-*i* GP with discount rate *r*. At rate ρ^G , the GP meets an LP with type drawn from the conditional distribution $\left\{\frac{m_{ij}}{\sum_j m_{ij}}\right\}$. Both parties evaluate each other and decide whether to match. The GP's continuation value is $x_{ij} + \epsilon$ from matching and $u_i + \epsilon_0$ from continuing to search, where ϵ_0 is the idiosyncratic change in continuation value upon rejecting the potential partner.³⁸ A partnership is formed only if both parties prefer the match over continuing to search. A type-*j* LP prefers the match with probability $q_{ij} = \mathbb{E} [v_j + \delta_0 \ge y_{ij} + \delta]$, in which case the GP's expected change in value is thus $\mathbb{E} [\max(\epsilon_0, x_{ij} - u_i + \epsilon)]$. Otherwise, the rejected GP has an expected value change of zero. The standard Gumbel distribution of the idiosyncratic values (ϵ 's and δ 's) imply

(A2)
$$p_{ij} = \frac{e^{u_i}}{e^{u_i} + e^{x_{ij}}}, \qquad q_{ij} = \frac{e^{v_j}}{e^{v_j} + e^{y_{ij}}},$$

We take as model primitives the type-specific values from partnerships $(x_{ij} \text{ and } y_{ij})$, the matching rate relative to the discount rate $(\{\rho^G/r, \rho^L/r\})$, and the type distribution from which meetings are drawn $(\{m_{ij}\})$.³⁹ Given these primitives, the probabilities of preferring to match $(p_{ij} \text{ and } q_{ij})$ follow (A2), and the equilibrium value of unmatched entities $(u_i \text{ and } v_j)$ are the endogenous fixed point solutions to the HJB equations (A1). We later consider counterfactual changes to the model primitives as we conduct policy experiments.

Parameterization. We leverage both our experimental surveys and the administrative data to parameterize the model. Motivated by our reduced-form evidence, we categorize GPs into I=4

³⁶While the matching between GPs and LPs can be many-to-many, we assume that each GP has a discrete number of investment slots to be funded, that each LP has the capacity to invest in multiple slots, and that the matching between GPs and LPs at the investment slot-to-capacity level is one-to-one.

³⁷We allow ρ^{G} and ρ^{L} to differ, reflecting differences in market thickness on both sides.

³⁸The idiosyncratic change in value could reflect the information that the GP gathers from the meeting about its own investment prospects or about the market more broadly.

 $^{^{39}}$ We study a stationary equilibrium where a constant stream of new entities enter the search market to replace those that are matched, such that the total distribution of participant types are time-invariant.

types, according to their government ownership {gov, non-gov} and quality {high, low}, with quality types defined by having comprehensive returns above and below the median. We categorize LPs into J=2 types according to government ownership. We exploit the two main questions in our experimental survey. We interpret the average GP and LP response for question [1] ("Are you interested in establishing an investment relationship with this investment partner?") as the value of matches $(x_{ij} \text{ and } y_{ij})$ between the respective types.⁴⁰ For question [2] ("How likely do you" think it is that this investment partner would want to enter an investment relationship with your organization?"), we interpret the average GP response across each type-pairs as $\alpha + \beta \ln \frac{q_{ij}}{1-q_{ij}}$, where the logit transformation maps the survey response into probabilities given parameters α and β , and that the LP responses are symmetrically informative of p_{ij} . We calibrate parameters α , β , ρ^G/r and ρ^G/r . α and β translate survey responses to cooperation interests $\{p_{ij}, q_{ij}\}$, which map into the value of unmatched entities $\{u_i, v_i\}$ through equations (A2) and, along with the observed distribution of matches from the administrative data, also pin down the type distribution of meetings m_{ii} .⁴¹ The value of unmatched entities $\{u_i, v_i\}$ must satisfy the HJB equations (A1). We thus have I + J = 6 equations and four parameters, which are chosen to minimize the sum of squared errors in the equations.

Counterfactuals. Our first set of counterfactuals consider the equilibrium effect of channeling government capital towards nongovernment or well-performing GPs, reported in Panel A of Table A44. Column (1) reports the scenario where government GPs and government LPs are 20% less likely to meet (i.e., 20% of their meetings are replaced by drawing a new pair). The table shows that government-owned GPs and LPs experience surplus declines and nongovernment-owned entities experience minor gains. In terms of magnitudes, government-owned GPs experience a decline in surplus of -0.18 Likert points. Extrapolating the coefficients in Table 5, this is equivalent to reducing the capital allocated by their investors by over \$10 million. Despite the losses in surplus, government LPs do invest in marginally better-performing GPs with slightly higher IRR. In column (2) of Table A44, Panel A, we consider a similar experiment where we channel government LPs to invest in GPs with above-median returns (specifically, government LPs and low-quality GPs are 20% less likely to meet). The policy raises the IRR of GPs receiving investment from government LPs (by 1.86 percentage points). The increase in the average IRR of all funded GPs is lower (0.89 percentage points), as low-quality GPs substitute towards investments from nongovernment LPs. Despite the increase in average returns, the equilibrium value of GPs again decreases on average. Overall, through the lens of these results in Panel A, the empirical regularity that government LPs tend to invest in low-performing government GPs does not necessarily reflect capital misallocation; instead, it might be at least in part driven by the preferences of the top-performing GPs for private capital, which makes it challenging for government investors to match with the best firms in the first place. Our second set of counterfactuals evaluate the effects of alternative value divisions. Column (1) of Table A44, Panel B shows the equilibrium impact of nongovernment GPs obtaining the same value x_{ij} as government GPs when matched with government LPs, while holding $x_{ij} + y_{ij}$ constant. In equilibrium, the surplus of nongovernment GPs increases, as they obtain more value when matched

⁴⁰We partial out observable characteristics besides government connection and quality from the responses.

⁴¹The observed distribution of type-pairs that form matches must be proportional to $m_{ij}p_{ij}q_{ij}$.

with government LPs. Perhaps surprisingly, the surplus of government GPs also increases. This is an equilibrium effect: as nongovernment GPs capture more value $vis-\dot{a}-vis$ government LP investors, they become less likely to form matches with government LPs, which in turn become less selective in equilibrium and accept more potential matches, thereby raising the surplus of all GPs. Column (2) of Table A44, Panel B considers the related counterfactual where government LPs obtain the same value y_{ij} as nongovernment LPs when matched with nongovernment GPs (again holding $x_{ij} + y_{ij}$ constant). The direct effect of this counterfactual is to leave more value to the GPs, thereby raising their surplus. In equilibrium, GPs become more selective, leading to a reduction in the surplus of all LPs, including nongovernment ones whose match value y_{ij} is not directly affected.

Panel A: Channeling government capital to private or well-performing GPs					
	gov LP and gov GP are 20% less likely to meet (1)	gov LP and low quality GP 20% less likely to meet (2)			
Impact on GP's surplus (Likert scale)					
Gov GP with high quality Gov GP with low quality Non-gov GP with high quality Non-gov GP with low quality Impact on LP's surplus (Likert scale)	-0.18 -0.18 0.12 0.12	0.13 -0.19 0.11 -0.087			
Gov LP Non-gov LP	-0.090 0.10	-0.078 0.098			
Changes in the IRR of GPs that LPs in	vest in (percentage points)				
Gov LP Non-gov LP Average	-0.046 -0.072 -0.024	1.86 -0.30 0.89			

TABLE A44.	Counterfactuals
------------	-----------------

Panel B: Counterfacutal divisions of value		
--	--	--

	non-gov GPs obtain the same value (x_{ij}) as gov GPs (1)	gov LPs obtain the same value (y_{ij}) as non-gov LPs (2)
Impact on GP's surplus (Likert scale)	(-)	(-)
Gov, High Quality	0.0032	0.024
Gov, Low Quality	0.0047	0.035
Non-gov, High Quality	0.060	0.26
Non-gov, Low Quality	0.0078	0.15
Impact on LP's surplus (Likert scale)		
Gov	-0.024	-0.19
Non-gov	-0.0044	-0.027

Notes: This table reports counterfactuals based on the model. Panel A considers scenarios that government LPs are less likely to meet with government GPs (column 1) or worse-performing GPs (column 2). Panel B considers counterfacutal divisions of value, such as when nongovernment GPs obtain the same value as government GPs when matched with nongovernment LPs (column 1) and when government LPs obtain the same value as nongovernment LPs when matched with nongovernment GPs (column 2). Surplus changes are reported in Likert scale, consistent with our experimental design.